arXiv:1210.5606v1 [math.DG] 20 Oct 2012

FINITE TYPE MINIMAL ANNULI IN $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

L. HAUSWIRTH, M. KILIAN, AND M. U. SCHMIDT

Abstract. We study minimal annuli in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of finite type by relating them to harmonic maps $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2$ of finite type. We rephrase an iteration by Pinkall-Sterling in terms of polynomial Killing fields. We discuss spectral curves, spectral data and the geometry of the isospectral set. We consider polynomial Killing fields with zeroes and the corresponding singular spectral curves, bubbletons and simple factors. We obtain a submanifold structure on the isospectral set of any minimal annulus. We apply the theory to a 2-parameter family of embedded minimal annuli foliated by horizontal circles.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ 2
2. Polynomial Killing fields and spectral curves 5
3. Harmonic maps and Weierstrass representation 8
4. Isospectral set 10
5. Periods 15
6. Bubbletons 19
7. Spectral curves of the Riemann family 24
Appendix A. Terng-Uhlenbeck Formula 29
References 30

Introduction

In the last decade there has been an interest in extending minimal surface theory to the target space $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ [27, 20, 21, 12, 15]. A minimal surface that is conformally immersed in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is essentially described by a harmonic map $G : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2$. There is an important subclass of such harmonic maps that have an algebraic description, the so-called harmonic maps of finite type. For example, all constant mean curvature (cmc) tori in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{H}^3$ have harmonic Gauss maps of finite type [14, 24]. The description of conformally immersed proper minimal annuli of finite type is analogous to the well known theory of constant mean curvature tori of finite type [14, 24, 2, 4, 9, 10, 7, 23]. Thus periodic minimal immersions $X : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of finite type can be described by algebraic data. Up to some finite dimensional and compact degrees of freedom the immersion is determined by the so-called *spectral data* (a, b). This consists of two polynomials of degree $2g$ respectively $g + 1$ for some $g \in \mathbb{N}$. The polynomial $a(\lambda)$ encodes a hyperelliptic Riemann surface called the *spectral curve*. The genus of the spectral curve is called *spectral genus*. The other polynomial $b(\lambda)$ encodes the extrinsic closing conditions. This correspondence is called the algebro-geometric correspondence. In particular, we characterize those algebraic curves which are the spectral curves of minimal annuli in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The spectral data is the starting point for a deformation theory to be used in [13].

Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A10. October 23, 2012.

Let us briefly outline this paper. In the first section we provide a short introduction to the local theory of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and prove a Sym-Bobenko type formula. In the second section we discuss polynomial Killing fields, how these are related to the Pinkall-Sterling iteration and define the spectral curve. In the third section we invoke the Iwasawa factorization of the underlying loop groups, mention the generalized Weierstrass representation, and briefly discuss the Symes method [29, 5, 6]. In the fourth section we treat the spectral curve, the isospectral action, and prove some properties of the isospectral set. In particular we discuss singular spectral curves and how to remove singularities with the isospectral action. The isospectral set $I(a)$ of a minimal annulus consists of all minimal annuli with the same spectral data (a, b). We decompose $I(a)$ with the help of a group action into orbits and identify each orbit smoothly with a commutative Lie group. These orbits have different dimensions. The lower dimensional orbits are in the closures of the higher dimensional ones. Our main results are

(1) The isospectral sets $I(a)$ are compact.

(2) If the 2g-roots of a are pairwise distinct, then there is one orbit diffeomorphic to
$$I(a) \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^g.$$

(3) If a has a double root $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, then there is a diffeomorphism
$$I(a) \cong I(\tilde{a}) \quad \text{with} \quad a(\lambda) = \bar{\alpha}_0(\lambda - \alpha_0)^2 \tilde{a}(\lambda).$$

(4) If a has a double root $\alpha_0 \notin \mathbb{S}^1$ there are two invariant submanifolds diffeomorphic to
$$I(a) \cong I(\tilde{a}) \cup G \times I(\tilde{a}) \quad \text{with} \quad G = \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}^* \quad \textit{and} \quad a(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha_0)^2 (1 - \lambda\bar{\alpha}_0)^2 \tilde{a}(\lambda)$$

In the fifth section we turn to periodic finite type harmonic maps, spectral data and how the closing conditions are encoded in the spectral curve. In section 6 we encounter bubbletons, simple factors and prove a factorization theorem for polynomial Killing fields with zeroes. In particular we show that changing the line in the simple factor is isoperiodic, and that for some choices of lines the nodal singularity on the spectral curve disappears. In the last section we compute the spectral data of minimal annuli in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ that are foliated by circles. These low spectral genus examples will play an important role in a forthcoming paper [13]. This paper presents a mostly self-contained account of the integrable systems approach to minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of finite type, but may also serve as a companion for other integrable surface geometries.

1. MINIMAL SURFACES IN $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

We study conformal minimal immersions $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open and simply connected. We write $X = (G,\, h)$ for $G : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$ and $h : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, and call G the **horizontal**, and h the **vertical** components of X. By conformality the induced metric is of the form $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \rho^2(z)|\mathrm{d}z|^2$, and writing $z = x + \mathbb{i}\,y$ the partial derivatives satisfy $|X_x|^2 = |X_y|^2$ and $X_x \perp X_y = 0$.

If $\mathbb{S}^2$ is isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the mean curvature vector is $2\overrightarrow{H} = ((\Delta_\rho G)^T, \Delta_\rho h) = 0$ where $(\Delta_\rho G)^T$ is the tangential component to $\mathbb{S}^2$ of the Laplacian in the induced metric.

Thus minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are given by two harmonic maps $G : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$ and $h : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. It turns out that conformality implies that the vertical component depends on the first factor
$$(h_z)^2 = -\langle G_z, G_z \rangle$$
where $h_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x h - \mathbb{i}\partial_y h)$. The holomorphic Hopf quadratic differential associate to the harmonic map $G : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is
$$Q = \langle G_z, G_z \rangle (\mathrm{d}z)^2$$
and the third coordinate of the minimal surface is given by
$$h(z) = \mathrm{Re} \int -2\mathbb{i}\sqrt{Q}\mathrm{d}z$$

so that the essential freedom of a conformal minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ comes from the horizontal component. The unit normal vector n in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ has third coordinate

$$\langle n, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = n_3 = \frac{|g|^2 - 1}{|g|^2 + 1} \quad \text{where} \quad g^2 := -\frac{G_z}{\overline{G_{\bar z}}} \,.$$

We define the real function $\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$n_3 := \tanh \omega \,.$$

We express the differential $\mathrm{d}G$ independently of z by:

$$\mathrm{d}G = G_{\bar z} \mathrm{d}\bar z + G_z \mathrm{d}z = \frac{1}{2\sigma \circ G} \overline{g^{-1} \eta} - \frac{1}{2\sigma \circ G} g \, \eta$$

and the metric $\mathrm{d}s^2$ is given in a local coordinate z by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = (|G_z|_\sigma + |G_{\bar z}|_\sigma)^2 |\mathrm{d}z|^2 = \frac{1}{4} (|g|^{-1} + |g|)^2 |\eta|^2 = 4|Q| \, \cosh^2 \omega \,.$$

We remark that the zeroes of Q correspond to the poles of ω, so that the immersion is well defined. Moreover the zeroes of Q are points, where the tangent plane is horizontal. The Jacobi operator is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4|Q| \, \cosh^2 \omega} \left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \mathrm{Ric}(n) + |dn|^2 \right)$$

and can be expressed in terms of Q and ω by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\,|Q| \cosh^2 \omega} \left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + 4|Q| + \frac{2|\nabla \omega|^2}{\cosh^2 \omega} \right) \tag{1.1}$$

Since $n_3 = \tanh \omega$ is a Jacobi field obtained by vertical translation in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathcal{L} \tanh \omega = 0$ and

$$\Delta \omega + |Q| \sinh \omega \cosh \omega = 0$$

where $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ is the Laplacian in the flat metric.

Minimal annulus. Consider a minimal annulus A properly immersed in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. If A is tangent to a horizontal section $x_3 = 0$, the set $A \cap \{x_3 = 0\}$ defines on A a compact component in some half-space $x_3 \geq 0$ or $x_3 \leq 0$ with boundary in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\}$, a contradiction to the maximum principle. Hence the annulus is transverse to every horizontal section $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{t\}$. The third coordinate map $h : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a proper harmonic map on each end of A, with $dh \neq 0$. Then each end of A is parabolic and the annulus can be conformally parameterized by $\mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{Z}$. We will consider in the following conformal minimal immersions $X : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $X(z + \tau) = X(z)$.

Since $dh \neq 0$, the Hopf differential Q has no zeroes. If h^* is the harmonic conjugate of h, we can use the holomorphic map $\mathbb{i}(h + \mathbb{i}\, h^*) : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ to parameterize the annulus by the conformal parameter $z = x + \mathbb{i} y$. In this parametrization the period of the annulus is $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$X(z) = (G(z), \, y) \text{ with } X(z + \tau) = X(z) \,.$$

We say that we have parameterized the surface by its *third component*. We remark that $Q = \frac{1}{4}(dz)^2$ and ω satisfies the sinh-Gordon equation

$$\Delta \omega + \sinh(\omega) \cosh(\omega) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ denotes the flat Laplacian of $\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1.1. *In this paper we will relax the condition $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ into $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, but we will parameterize our annuli conformally such that Q will be constant, independent of z and $4|Q| = 1$. This means that the third coordinate is linear.*

In summary we have proven the following

Theorem 1.2. *A proper minimal annulus is parabolic and* $X : \mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *has conformal parametrization* $X(z) = (G(z),\, h(z))$ *with*

1. *harmonic map* $G : \mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.
2. *constant Hopf differential* $Q = \frac{1}{4}\exp(\mathbb{i}\Theta)\, dz^2$, *and* $h(z) = \mathrm{Re}(-\mathbb{i}e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta/2} z)$.
3. *The metric of the immersion is* $ds^2 = \cosh^2(\omega)\, dz \otimes d\bar{z}$.
4. *The third coordinate of the unit normal vector is* $n_3 = \tanh \omega$.
5. *The real function* $\omega : \mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a solution of* sinh-*Gordon equation* (1.2).

Sym-Bobenko type formula. There is a description of harmonic maps into the symmetric space $\mathbb{S}^2$ in terms of SU_2-valued frames. Identifying $\mathfrak{su}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{i}w & u+\mathbb{i}v \\ -u+\mathbb{i}v & -\mathbb{i}w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cong (u,\, v,\, w)$, so $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ consists of length $|X| = \sqrt{\det X} = 1$ elements in $\mathfrak{su}_2$ and $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(X_1 X_2\right)$. Pick

$$\sigma_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{i} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^2\,.$$

Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the stabiliser of σ_3 under the adjoint action of SU_2 on $\mathfrak{su}_2$, so that $\mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathrm{SU}_2/\mathbb{T}$. If $\pi : \mathrm{SU}_2 \to \mathrm{SU}_2/\mathbb{T}$ is the coset projection, then a map $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{SU}_2$ with $G(z) = \pi \circ F(z)$ is called a **frame** of G, and we have $G(z) = F(z)\sigma_3 F^{-1}(z)$.

Harmonic maps come in $\mathbb{S}^1$-families (associated families), associate to the same solution of sinh-Gordon equation $\omega : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ parameterizes an associated family of harmonic maps, and the frame $F_\lambda : \Omega \to \mathrm{SU}_2$ of such an associated family is called an **extended frame**.

A method to obtain an extended frame is to write down an integrable 1-forms α which integrates to an extended frame $F_\lambda^{-1} dF_\lambda = \alpha$, by solving the integrability condition. We present a result which is similar to results in Bobenko [3]. We next specify for a real solution of the sinh-Gordon equation (1.2) a minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with a particularly simple vertical component.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a a solution of the sinh-Gordon equation. Let* $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *be arbitrary but fixed, and* $F_\lambda(z)$ *the solution of* $F_\lambda^{-1} dF_\lambda = \alpha(\omega)$, $F_\lambda(0) = \mathbb{1}$ *where*

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_z & \mathbb{i}\lambda^{-1}e^{\omega} \\ \mathbb{i}\gamma e^{-\omega} & -2\omega_z \end{pmatrix} dz + \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} -2\omega_{\bar{z}} & \mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}e^{-\omega} \\ \mathbb{i}\lambda\, e^{\omega} & 2\omega_{\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix} d\bar{z}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Then the map $X_\lambda(z) = (F_\lambda(z)\,\sigma_3\, F_\lambda(z)^{-1},\, \mathrm{Re}(-\mathbb{i}\sqrt{\gamma\lambda^{-1}}z))$ *with* $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *defines an associate family of conformal minimal immersions* $X_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *with metric*

$$ds^2 = \cosh^2 \omega\ dz \otimes d\bar{z}\,,$$

and Hopf differential

$$Q = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\gamma\,\lambda^{-1}\, dz^2\,.$$

Proof. Decomposing $\alpha(\omega) = \alpha'\, dz + \alpha''\, d\bar{z}$ into (1, 0) and (0, 1) parts, we compute

$$\alpha'_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_{z\bar{z}} & \mathbb{i}\lambda^{-1}\omega_{\bar{z}}e^{\omega} \\ -\mathbb{i}\gamma\omega_{\bar{z}}e^{-\omega} & -2\omega_{z\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \alpha''_z = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} -2\omega_{z\bar{z}} & -\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}\omega_z e^{-\omega} \\ \mathbb{i}\lambda\omega_z e^{\omega} & 2\omega_{z\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

Using $F_\lambda^{-1} dF_\lambda = \alpha$ in the integrability condition $(F_\lambda)_{z\bar{z}} = (F_\lambda)_{\bar{z}z}$ gives $\alpha'_{\bar{z}} - \alpha''_z = [\alpha',\, \alpha'']$, and a direct computation shows this is equivalent to the sinh-Gordon equation (1.2). Hence we can integrate $dF_\lambda = F_\lambda\, \alpha$ to obtain a map $F_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \Lambda_r \mathrm{SU}_2$ and define $G_\lambda = F_\lambda\, \sigma_3\, F_\lambda^{-1}$.

The vertical component is $h = \frac{\mathbb{i}}{2}(-\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}z + \gamma^{-1/2}\lambda^{1/2}\bar{z})$.

Its partial derivatives of are $h_z = -\frac{\mathbb{i}}{2}\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}$ and $h_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\mathbb{i}}{2}\gamma^{-1/2}\lambda^{1/2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (X_\lambda)_z,\, (X_\lambda)_z \rangle &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left([\alpha',\, \sigma_3]^2\right) + (h_z)^2 = 0\,, \\ \langle (X_\lambda)_{\bar{z}},\, (X_\lambda)_{\bar{z}} \rangle &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left([\alpha'',\, \sigma_3]^2\right) + (h_{\bar{z}})^2 = 0\,, \end{aligned}$$

and the conformal factor computes to

$$2\langle (X_\lambda)_z,\, (X_\lambda)_{\bar{z}} \rangle = 2\langle [\alpha',\, \sigma_3],\, [\alpha'',\, \sigma_3] \rangle + 2\,(h_z)(h_{\bar{z}}) = \tfrac{1}{2}\cosh(2\omega) + \tfrac{1}{2} = \cosh^2(\omega)\,.$$

The Hopf differential is $Q = \langle (G_\lambda)_z,\, (G_\lambda)_z \rangle\, (dz)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left([\alpha',\, \sigma_3]^2\right)(dz)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\gamma\lambda^{-1}\,(dz)^2$. $\square$

Remark 1.4. Reality condition. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, α_λ takes values in $\mathfrak{su}_2$ and then F_λ takes values in* SU_2. *For general $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we look for solution $F_\lambda \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. From the relation $\overline{\alpha_{1/\bar\lambda}}^t = -\alpha_\lambda$, the solution of $F_\lambda^{-1} dF_\lambda = \alpha(\omega)$ satisfy the reality condition*

$$\overline{F_{1/\bar\lambda}}^t = F_\lambda^{-1}\,. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Polynomial Killing fields and spectral curves

We explain in this section how solutions of sinh-Gordon equation gives polynomial Killing fields which are solutions of the Lax equation. This polynomial killing field define the so called Spectral curve, a hyperelliptic Riemann surface.

If ω is a solution of the sinh-Gordon equation, we consider a deformation $\omega_t = \omega + t\,u + O(t^2)$. If ω_t is a one parameter family of solution of sinh-Gordon equation, then variational function $u : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the **linearized sinh-Gordon equation**

$$\Delta u + u\,\cosh(2\omega) = 0\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1. *A solution of the sinh-Gordon equation ω is of* **finite type** *if there exist $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that*

$$\Phi_\lambda(z) = \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{i}e^\omega \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=0}^{g} \lambda^n \begin{pmatrix} u_n(z) & e^\omega \tau_n(z) \\ e^\omega \sigma_n(z) & -u_n(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

is a solution of the Lax equation

$$d\Phi_\lambda = [\Phi_\lambda,\, \alpha(\omega)] \tag{2.3}$$

for some functions $u_n, \tau_n, \sigma_n : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose Φ_λ is of the form* (2.2) *for some arbitrary $\omega : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, and that Φ_λ solves the Lax equation* (2.3) *with $\alpha(\omega)$ as in* (1.3) *and $|\gamma| = 1$. Then:*

1. *The function ω is a solution of the sinh-Gordon equation* (1.2).
2. *The functions u_n are solutions of the linearized sinh-Gordon equation* (2.1).
3. *The following iteration gives a formal solution of $d\Phi_\lambda = [\Phi_\lambda,\, \alpha(\omega)]$. Let u_n, σ_n, τ_{n-1}, with u_n solution of* (2.1) *be given. Now solve the system*
$$\tau_{n;\bar z} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{i}\bar\gamma\, e^{-2\omega} u_n\,, \qquad \tau_{n;z} = 4\mathbb{i}\bar\gamma\,\omega_z u_{n;z} - 2\mathbb{i}\bar\gamma\, u_{n;zz}$$
for $\tau_{n;z}$ and $\tau_{n;\bar z}$. Then define u_{n+1} and σ_{n+1} by
$$u_{n+1} = -2\mathbb{i}\tau_{n;z} - 4\mathbb{i}\omega_z \tau_n\,, \qquad \sigma_{n+1} = \gamma\, e^{2\omega}\tau_n + 4\mathbb{i}\gamma\, u_{n+1;\bar z}\,.$$
4. *Each τ_n is defined up to a complex constant c_n, so u_{n+1} is defined up to $-4\mathbb{i}c_n\omega_z$.*
5. *$\lambda^{g-1}\overline{\Phi_{1/\bar\lambda}}^t$ also solves* (2.3).

Proof. Inserting (2.2) into (2.3) and comparing coefficients yields

$$4u_{n;z} + \mathbb{i}e^{2\omega}\sigma_{n+1} - \mathbb{i}\gamma\tau_n = 0\,, \tag{2.4a}$$

$$4u_{n;\bar z} + \mathbb{i}\bar\gamma\sigma_n - \mathbb{i}e^{2\omega}\tau_{n-1} = 0\,, \tag{2.4b}$$

$$4\omega_z\tau_n + 2\tau_{n;z} - \mathbb{i}u_{n+1} = 0\,, \tag{2.4c}$$

$$2e^\omega\tau_{n;\bar z} - \mathbb{i}\bar\gamma e^{-\omega}u_n = 0\,, \tag{2.4d}$$

$$2e^\omega\sigma_{n;z} + \mathbb{i}\gamma e^{-\omega}u_n = 0\,, \tag{2.4e}$$

$$4\omega_{\bar z}\,\sigma_n + 2\sigma_{n,\bar z} + \mathbb{i}u_{n-1} = 0\,. \tag{2.4f}$$

(1) Solving (2.4b) for σ_{n+1}, (2.4c) for u_{n+1}, (2.4d) for $\tau_{n;\bar z}$, and inserting these, and $u_{n+1;\bar z}$ and $\tau_{n;\bar z z}$ into (2.4a) gives $e^{2\omega}\mathbb{i}\gamma\tau_n(16\omega_{z\bar z} - e^{-2\omega} + e^{2\omega}) = 0$, which implies (1.2) if $\tau_n \neq 0$.

(2) $\bar\partial$(2.4a) $- \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{i}e^{2\omega}$(2.4f) $+ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{i}\gamma e^{-\omega}$(2.4d) reads $4u_{n;z\bar z} + \frac{1}{2}u_n\left(e^{2\omega} + \gamma\bar\gamma e^{-2\omega}\right) = 0$.

(3) The equation for $\tau_{n;\bar{z}}$ is (2.4d). Taking the z-derivative of (2.4a) and using (2.4a), (2.4c) and (2.4e) gives $\tau_{n;z} = 4\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}\omega_z u_{n;z} - 2\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma} u_{n;zz}$. The equations for u_{n+1}, σ_{n+1} are given by (2.4c) respectively (2.4b).

(4) In the iteration (3) the function τ_n is determined up to an integration constant. This gives an additional term ω_z in u_{n+1}.

(5) Left to the reader. □

Pinkall-Sterling [24] simplify the iteration of Proposition 2.2 (3) via an auxiliary function ϕ as follows: For a given solution u_n of the linearized sinh-Gordon equation (2.1), consider the function $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\phi_{n;z} = 4\omega_z u_{n;z} \,, \qquad \phi_{n;\bar{z}} = -u_n \sinh\omega \cosh\omega \tag{2.5}$$

Then $\tau_n = 2\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\phi_n - u_{n;z}\right)$ and $u_{n+1} := (u_n)_{zz} - \omega_z \phi_n$. This defines a hierarchy of solutions of (2.1). Applying this iteration to the trivial solution $u_0 \equiv 0$ yields the sequence, whose first four terms are

$$u_0 = 0 \,, \; u_1 = \omega_z \,, \; u_2 = (\omega_{zzz} - 2\omega_z^3) \,, \; u_3 = (\omega_{zzzzz} - 10\omega_{zzz}\omega_z^3 - 10\omega_{zz}^2\omega_z + 6\omega_z^5) \,.$$

This infinite sequence produces solutions of the linearized sinh-Gordon equation on $\mathbb{C}$. These come from the iteration (2.5), and Pinkall-Sterling prove in Proposition 3.1 [24], that ϕ_n depends only on ω and its k-th derivatives with $k \leq 2n+1$. The fact that we consider on $\mathbb{C}$ a uniformly bounded solution of the sinh-Gordon equation $\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$, implies by Schauder estimate that this sequence of linearized sinh-Gordon equation are uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.3. *A proper minimal annulus A immersed in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with bounded curvature and linear area growth has a metric $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \cosh^2\omega |\mathrm{d}s|^2$, where $\omega : \mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a finite type solution of the sinh-Gordon equation. We say that the annulus is of finite type.*

Proof. A first step is to prove that the function $\omega : \mathbb{C}/\tau\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly bounded. Consider a sequence of points p_n in A such that $\omega(p_n)$ is diverging to infinity and consider a sequence of translations $t_n e_3$ such that $A + t_n e_3$ is a sequence of annuli with $p_n + t_n e_3$ points of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\}$. Then by bounded curvature hypothesis there is a sub-sequence converging locally to A_0, a properly immersed minimal surface. The linear area growth assumption assure that A_0 is an annulus. But our hypothesis leads to a pole occurring at the height $t = 0$ since $|\omega| \longrightarrow \infty$. The limit normal vector $n_3(p_n) = \tanh\omega_n(p_n) \longrightarrow \pm 1$ and the annulus A_0 would be tangent to the height $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\}$, a contradiction to the maximum principle. Then

$$\sup_{z \in A} |\omega| \leq C_0.$$

Now we apply Schauder estimate to the sinh-Gordon equation to have a $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ estimate on the solution of the sinh-Gordon equation on $\mathbb{C}/\tau\ Z \times \mathbb{R}$. There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\omega|_{A,k,\alpha} \leq C_0 \,.$$

Meeks-Perez-Ros [19] provide us with the following

Theorem 2.4. [19] *An elliptic operator $Lu = \Delta u + qu$ on a cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ has for bounded and continuous q a finite dimensional kernel on the space of uniformly bounded $\mathcal{C}^2$ -functions on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Since solutions $u_0, u_1, u_2 ...$ of linearized sinh-Gordon equation are solutions depending only in ω and its higher derivative, this family is a finite dimensional family by the theorem 2.4. Thus there is a $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exist a_i, $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} a_i u_i + b_i \bar{u}_i = 0 \,.$$

This algebraic relation implies that ω is of finite type, and ensures the existence of a polynomial Killing field Φ_λ of degree g. To achieve that, one has to prescribe the right constants c_0, c_1, …, c_g in the iteration procedure, and set the $g+1$-coefficient to zero. $\square$

Potentials and polynomial Killing fields. The following set of potential $\mathcal{P}_g$ parameterize real solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation:

Definition 2.5. *The open subset of a $3g+1$ dimensional real vector space of matrices called potentials is*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_g = \{\xi_\lambda = \sum_{d=-1}^{g} \hat{\xi}_d \lambda^d \mid \hat{\xi}_{-1} \in \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}^+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\xi}_{-1}\hat{\xi}_0) \neq 0,\\ \hat{\xi}_d = -\overline{\hat{\xi}_{g-1-d}}^{\,t} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \textit{ for } d = -1, \dots, g\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$, we have a map $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathfrak{su}_2, \lambda \mapsto \lambda^{\frac{1-g}{2}} \xi_\lambda$. Each $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ satisfies the **reality condition**

$$\lambda^{g-1} \overline{\xi_{1/\bar{\lambda}}}^{\,t} = -\xi_\lambda \,. \tag{2.6}$$

The polynomial

$$a(\lambda) := -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$$

then satisfies the reality condition

$$\lambda^{2g} \overline{a(1/\bar{\lambda})} = a(\lambda) \,. \tag{2.7}$$

On $\mathfrak{su}_2$ the determinant is the square of a norm, thus we have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ that

$$\lambda^{-g}\, a(\lambda) \leq 0 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1 \,. \tag{2.8}$$

When g is even, $\hat{\xi}_0, ..., \hat{\xi}_{\frac{g}{2}-1}$ are independent 2×2 traceless complex matrix. For an odd g, $\hat{\xi}_0, ..., \hat{\xi}_{\frac{g-3}{2}}$ are independent 2×2 traceless complex matrix and $\hat{\xi}_{\frac{g-1}{2}} \in \mathfrak{su}_2$. Then the space of potentials $\mathcal{P}_g$ of real finite type solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation is an open subset of a $3g+1$ dimensional real vector space. The condition $\mathrm{tr}(\hat{\xi}_{-1}\hat{\xi}_0) \neq 0$ implies that $a(0) \neq 0$ and by symmetry the highest coefficient of a is therefore non-zero. Thus $\lambda \mapsto a(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ with complex coefficients, and we denote such by $\mathbb{C}^{2g}[\lambda]$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_g &= \{a \in \mathbb{C}^{2g}[\lambda] \mid a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda \text{ with } \xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g\}\\ &= \{a \in \mathbb{C}^{2g}[\lambda] \mid a(0) \neq 0, \lambda^{2g}\overline{a(1/\bar{\lambda})} = a(\lambda) \text{ and } \lambda^{-g}\, a(\lambda) \leq 0 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1\} \,, \\ \mathcal{M}_g^0 &= \{a \in \mathcal{M}_g \mid \lambda^{-g} a(\lambda) < 0 \text{ for } |\lambda| = 1\} \,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_g^0$ is an open subset of the $2g+1$ dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{M}_g$.

Definition 2.6. *Polynomial Killing fields are maps $\zeta_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}_g$ (see Definition 2.5) which solve the Lax equation*

$$d\zeta_\lambda + [\; \alpha(z),\, \zeta_\lambda] = 0 \quad \textit{with} \quad \zeta_\lambda(0) = \xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g.$$

To obtain a polynomial killing field, related to the function $\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ of finite type, we choose the constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^1$ in $\alpha(\omega)$ (see formula (1.3)) such that

$$\zeta_\lambda(z) = \Phi_\lambda(z) - \lambda^{g-1}\, \overline{\Phi_{1/\bar{\lambda}}(z)}^{\,t} \text{ and } \zeta_\lambda(0) = \xi_\lambda$$

satisfies the condition that the residue matrix coefficient $\hat{\zeta}_{-1}$ and $\hat{\xi}_{-1}$ is an upper triangular matrix with purely imaginary non-zero coefficient. The map $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ takes values in the space of potentials $\mathcal{P}_g$ and satisfies the reality condition

$$\lambda^{g-1} \overline{\zeta_{1/\bar{\lambda}}(z)}^{\,t} = -\zeta_\lambda(z) \,. \tag{2.10}$$

Spectral curve. Suppose $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ and ζ_λ is the polynomial Killing field with $\zeta_\lambda(0) = \xi_\lambda$. Suppose further that the polynomial $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ has $2g$-pairwise distinct roots. Define

$$\Sigma^* = \{(\nu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \det(\nu\, \mathbb{1} - \zeta_\lambda) = 0\} = \{(\nu,\, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \nu^2 = -\det \xi_\lambda\} \tag{2.11}$$

By construction we have a map $\lambda : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ of degree 2, which is branched at the $2g$ simple roots of the polynomial a. By declaring the points over $\lambda = 0,\, \infty$ to be two further branch points, we then have $2g + 2$ branch points each of order $e_p = 2$. This 2-point compactification Σ is called the **spectral curve** of the polynomial Killing field ζ_λ.

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula reads $2\,\mathrm{genus}(\Sigma) - 2 = \deg(\lambda)(2\,\mathrm{genus}(\mathbb{CP}^1) - 2) + \sum(e_p - 1) = 2(-2) + 2g + 2$ and thus $\mathrm{genus}(\Sigma) = g$. Hence the spectral curve Σ is a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus g, and its genus is called the **spectral genus**. It has three involutions

$$\sigma : (\lambda, \nu) \mapsto (\lambda, -\nu) \qquad \rho : (\lambda, \nu) \mapsto (\bar\lambda^{-1}, -\bar\lambda^{1-g}\bar\nu) \qquad \eta : (\lambda, \nu) \mapsto (\bar\lambda^{-1}, \bar\lambda^{1-g}\bar\nu) \tag{2.12}$$

The involution σ is called the hyperelliptic involution. Note that ρ has no fixed points. In particular, roots of a are symmetric with respect to inversion across the unit circle so that $a(\alpha_i) = 0$ if and only if $a(1/\bar\alpha_i) = 0$.

3. Harmonic maps and Weierstrass representation

The generalized Weierstrass representation [8] gives a correspondence between harmonic maps, extended frames and '*potentials*'. To formulate the generalized Weierstrass representation for harmonic maps into $\mathbb{S}^2$ we need various loop groups and a loop group factorization.

For real $r \in (0, 1]$, denote the circle $\mathbb{S}_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = r\}$, the disk $I_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < r\}$ and the annulus $A_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid r < |\lambda| < 1/r\}$. The loop group of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the infinite dimensional Lie group $\Lambda_r\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_r, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ of analytic maps $\mathbb{S}_r \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \to F_\lambda$.

We need two subgroups. The first is $\Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2 = \{F \in \mathcal{O}(A_r,\, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid F|_{\mathbb{S}^1} \in \mathrm{SU}_2\}$. Thus

$$\lambda \to F_\lambda \in \Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2 \Longleftrightarrow \overline{F_{1/\bar\lambda}}^{\,t} = F_\lambda^{-1}\,. \tag{3.1}$$

The second subgroup that participates in the Iwaswa decomposition is

$$\Lambda_r^+\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{B \in \mathcal{O}(I_r \cup \mathbb{S}_r, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \mid B(0) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \rho & c \\ 0 & 1/\rho \end{smallmatrix}\right) \text{ for } \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{C}\}\,.$$

The normalization that $B(0)$ is upper-triangular with real diagonals ensures that

$$\Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2 \cap \Lambda_r^+\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{\mathbb{1}\}\,.$$

We will denote in the following $\lambda \to B_\lambda$ and $B(0) = B_0$. The following important result is due to Pressley-Segal [26], and generalized by McIntosh [22].

Theorem 3.1. *Multiplication* $\Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2 \times \Lambda_r^+\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda_r\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *is a real analytic diffeomorphism onto. The unique splitting of an element* $\phi_\lambda \in \Lambda_r\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *into* $\phi_\lambda = F_\lambda\, B_\lambda$ *with* $F_\lambda \in \Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2$ *and* $B_\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *is called the* **r-Iwasawa decomposition** *of* ϕ_λ *or* **Iwasawa decomposition** *when* $r = 1$.

Before specializing to the finite type theory of harmonic maps $G : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2$, let us briefly recall the generalized Weierstrass representation [8]. Set

$$\Lambda_{-1}^\infty\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*,\, \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) \mid (\lambda\xi_\lambda)|_{\lambda=0} \in \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{C}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\}\,.$$

A **potential** is a holomorphic 1-form on $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with values in $\Lambda_{-1}^\infty\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that we have such a potential $\xi_\lambda\, dz$ with $\xi_\lambda \in \Lambda_{-1}^\infty\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. To obtain a corresponding extended frame F_λ is a two step procedure:

1. Solve the holomorphic ODE $d\phi_\lambda = \phi_\lambda\xi_\lambda$ to obtain a map $\mathbb{C} \to \Lambda_r\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $z \to \phi_\lambda(z)$.
2. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ perform the r-Iwasawa factorization $\phi_\lambda(z) = F_\lambda(z)\, B_\lambda(z)$. Then the map $\mathbb{C} \to \Lambda_r\mathrm{SU}_2, z \to F_\lambda(z)$ is an extended frame.

Note that while $\phi_\lambda(z)$ is holomorphic in $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the resulting extended frame is not, since it also depends on $\bar{z}$ by the reality condition (1.4). It is proven in [8] that the map $\xi_\lambda \mapsto F_\lambda$ is surjective. Hence for any conformal minimal immersion $X = (G, h) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ there is a potential and corresponding extended frame which frames G.

An extended frame is of (semi-simple) **finite type**, if there exists a $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and it has a corresponding potential $\xi_\lambda dz$ with $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g \subset \Lambda^\infty_{-1}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence harmonic maps of finite type come from constant $(1,0)$-forms with values in the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{P}_g$, and thus have an algebraic description. In the finite type case the first step in the above two step procedure is explicit, since then $\phi_\lambda = \exp(z\,\xi_\lambda)$. Thus extended frames of finite type are obtained by factorizing $\exp(z\,\xi_\lambda) = F_\lambda\, B_\lambda$ with $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$.

Expanding a polynomial Killing field $\zeta_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}_g$ as

$$\zeta_\lambda(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{-1}(z) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0(z) & \beta_0(z) \\ \gamma_0(z) & -\alpha_0(z) \end{pmatrix} \lambda^0 + \ldots + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g(z) & \beta_g(z) \\ \gamma_g(z) & -\alpha_g(z) \end{pmatrix} \lambda^g \tag{3.2}$$

we associate a matrix 1-form defined by

$$\alpha(\zeta_\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0(z) & \beta_{-1}(z)\lambda^{-1} \\ \gamma_0(z) & -\alpha_0(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_0(z) & \bar{\gamma}_0(z) \\ \bar{\beta}_{-1}(z)\lambda & -\bar{\alpha}_0(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z} \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.2. *With initial data $\beta_{-1}(0) \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+$, the Lax equation preserves $\beta_{-1}(z) \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+$. For a given potential ξ_λ and polynomial killing field $\zeta_\lambda(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}_g$ we define a function $\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting $4\beta_{-1}(z) := \mathbb{i}e^\omega$. Now the equation $\beta_{-1,z} = 2\alpha_0\beta_1$ implies that $2\alpha_0 = \omega_z$. To express γ_0 in terms of α_0 and β_{-1}, we consider the Lax equation and find $\mathrm{d}''\gamma_0 = -2\bar{\alpha}_0\gamma_0$. Then $\gamma_0 = qe^{-\omega}$ where q is a holomorphic function. The term q is constant. The reason is that along the parameter z, we have $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \zeta_\lambda(z) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ and $a(0) = \beta_{-1}\gamma_0 = q/4$. Coefficients of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ depend only on higher derivatives of the function $\omega(z)$ point wise in z and $\alpha(\zeta_\lambda(z)) = \alpha(\omega)$.*

The following Proposition is well known, and the correspondence between potentials, polynomial Killing fields and extended frames is known as 'Symes method' [5, 6, 29].

Proposition 3.3. *For each $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ there is a unique polynomial Killing field $\zeta_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}_g$ solving $d\zeta_\lambda = [\,\zeta_\lambda,\, \alpha(\zeta_\lambda)\,]$ with $\zeta_\lambda(0) = \xi_\lambda$. The unitary factor $F_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \Lambda\mathrm{SU}_2$ of the Iwasawa decomposition $\exp(z\,\xi_\lambda) = F_\lambda\, B_\lambda$ is a solution of $F_\lambda^{-1}dF_\lambda = \alpha(\zeta_\lambda)$ with initial value $F_\lambda(0) = \mathbb{1}$ and $\zeta_\lambda(z) = B_\lambda(z)\,\xi_\lambda\, B_\lambda^{-1}(z) = F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\,\xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda(z)$.*

Proof. Clearly $\zeta_\lambda = B_\lambda(z)\,\xi_\lambda\, B_\lambda^{-1}(z) = F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\,\xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda(z)$ uniquely solves $d\zeta_\lambda = [\,\zeta_\lambda,\, F_\lambda^{-1}dF_\lambda\,]$ with initial condition $\zeta_\lambda(0) = \xi_\lambda$, so it remains to show $F_\lambda^{-1}dF_\lambda = \alpha(\zeta_\lambda)$.

By unitarity (1.4) have $F_\lambda^{-1}dF_\lambda = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}\lambda^{-1} + \mathfrak{a}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_1\lambda$, and if we decompose $\mathfrak{a}_j = \mathfrak{a}_j' dz + \mathfrak{a}_j'' d\bar{z}$ into $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ parts, then we have in addition that

$$\mathfrak{a}_0'' = -\overline{\mathfrak{a}_0'}^{\,t}, \quad \mathfrak{a}_1'' = -\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}'}^{\,t}, \quad \mathfrak{a}_{-1}'' = -\overline{\mathfrak{a}_1'}^{\,t}.$$

Now $F_\lambda^{-1}(F_\lambda)_z = \zeta_\lambda - (B_\lambda)_z B_\lambda^{-1}$, and $(B_\lambda)_z\, B_\lambda^{-1}$ is holomorphic at $\lambda = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}' = \hat{\zeta}_{-1}$. Further, $F_\lambda^{-1}(F_\lambda)_{\bar{z}} = -(B_\lambda)_{\bar{z}} B_\lambda^{-1}$ implies $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}'' = 0$. It remains to determine $\mathfrak{a}_0$.

Expand $B_\lambda = \hat{B}_0 + \hat{B}_1\lambda + \ldots$. Now $\mathfrak{a}_0' = \zeta_0 - (\hat{B}_0)_z\, \hat{B}_0^{-1}$. Since $\hat{B}_0$ is upper-triangular, then so is $(\hat{B}_0)_z\, \hat{B}_0^{-1}$. Hence the lower-diagonal term of $\mathfrak{a}_0'$ is γ_0, and the upper-diagonal term of $\mathfrak{a}_0''$ is $-\bar{\gamma}_0$. Also $\mathfrak{a}_0'' = -(\hat{B}_0)_{\bar{z}}\, \hat{B}_0^{-1}$ is upper-triangular, so the lower-diagonal entry of $\mathfrak{a}_0''$ is zero, and consequently also the upper-diagonal entry of $\mathfrak{a}_0'$ is zero.

Finally, writing $B(0) = \hat{B}_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \rho & c \\ 0 & 1/\rho \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and $\mathfrak{a}_0' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u & v \\ w & -u \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $\bar{u} = \rho^{-1}\rho_{\bar{z}}$ and $u = \alpha_0 - \rho^{-1}\rho_z$. The two equations, and since ρ is real analytic, give $2u = \alpha_0$. ☐

4. ISOSPECTRAL SET

Define for polynomial Killing field $\zeta_\lambda : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}_g$ as in (3.2), and $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \zeta_\lambda|_{z=0}$ the set

$$I(a) := \Big\{ \xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g \mid \lambda \det \xi_\lambda = -a(\lambda) \text{ and } \beta_{-1}\gamma_0|_{z=0} = a(0) = -\tfrac{1}{16} e^{\mathbb{i}(1-g)\theta} := -\tfrac{1}{16} e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta} \Big\} .$$

Thus $I(a)$ consists of all initial conditions ξ_λ which give rise to the same spectral curve Σ and the same off-diagonal product $a(0) = \beta_{-1}\gamma_0|_{z=0}$. The set $I(a)$ is called the **isospectral set** of the polynomial Killing field ζ_λ. We next define the **isospectral action** $\pi : \mathbb{C}^g \times I(a) \to I(a)$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$ and $t = (t_0, \ldots, t_{g-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^g$, and*

$$\exp\big(\xi_\lambda \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \lambda^{-i} t_i \big) = F_\lambda(t) B_\lambda(t) \tag{4.1}$$

the Iwasawa factorization. Define the map $\pi(t) : I(a) \to I(a)$ by

$$\pi(t)\, \xi_\lambda = B_\lambda(t)\, \xi\, B_\lambda^{-1}(t)$$

Since $F_\lambda(t)B_\lambda(t)$ commutes with ξ_λ we have $\pi(t)\,\xi_\lambda = B_\lambda(t)\,\xi_\lambda\,B_\lambda^{-1}(t) = F_\lambda^{-1}(t)\,\xi_\lambda\,F_\lambda(t)$.

Proposition 4.2. *The map $\pi(t) : I(a) \to I(a)$ defines a commutative group action*

$$\pi(t + t') = \pi(t)\,\pi(t') = \pi(t')\,\pi(t) .$$

Proof. For $t,\, t' \in \mathbb{C}^g$ have $F_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda(t+t') = F_\lambda(t)B_\lambda(t)F_\lambda(t')B_\lambda(t') = F_\lambda(t')B_\lambda(t')F_\lambda(t)B_\lambda(t)$. Hence

$$\exp(B_\lambda(t)\xi_\lambda B_\lambda^{-1}(t)\,\Sigma\lambda^{-i}t'_i) = B_\lambda(t)F_\lambda(t')B_\lambda(t')B_\lambda^{-1}(t) = F_\lambda^{-1}(t)F_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda^{-1}(t) ,$$
$$\exp(B_\lambda(t')\xi_\lambda B_\lambda^{-1}(t')\,\Sigma\lambda^{-i}t_i) = B_\lambda(t')F_\lambda(t)B_\lambda(t)B_\lambda^{-1}(t') = F_\lambda^{-1}(t')F_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda^{-1}(t') .$$

Set $\hat{B}_\lambda(t) = B_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda^{-1}(t')$ and $\tilde{B}_\lambda(t') = B_\lambda(t+t')B_\lambda^{-1}(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(t+t')\xi_\lambda &= B_\lambda(t+t')\,\xi_\lambda\,B_\lambda^{-1}(t+t') \\ &= \tilde{B}_\lambda(t')B_\lambda(t)\,\xi_\lambda\,B_\lambda^{-1}(t)\tilde{B}_\lambda^{-1}(t') = \tilde{B}_\lambda(t')\,\pi(t)\xi_\lambda\,\tilde{B}_\lambda^{-1}(t') = \pi(t')\pi(t)\xi_\lambda \\ &= \hat{B}_\lambda(t)B_\lambda(t')\,\xi_\lambda\,B_\lambda^{-1}(t')\hat{B}_\lambda^{-1}(t) = \hat{B}_\lambda(t)\,\pi(t')\xi_\lambda\,\hat{B}_\lambda^{-1}(t) = \pi(t)\pi(t')\xi_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

□

Removing singularities on Σ. Elements of different isospectral sets may give the same extended frame. This is the case in particular if an initial value ξ_λ has a root at some $\lambda = \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then the corresponding polynomial Killing field ζ_λ also has a root at $\lambda = \alpha_0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case we may reduce the order of ξ_λ and ζ_λ without changing the corresponding extended frame. This situation corresponds to a singular spectral curve because then the polynomial $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ has a root of order at least two at α_0. We can remove such a singularity without changing the surface, by choosing a polynomial $p(\lambda)$ such that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = \xi_\lambda / p(\lambda)$ does not vanish at α_0. This is then the initial value of a polynomial Killing field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ without zeroes at α_0. We next show that both polynomial Killing fields ζ_λ and $\zeta_\lambda/p(\lambda)$ give rise to the same extended frame, and thus the same minimal surface.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose a polynomial Killing field ζ_λ has zeroes in $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then there is a polynomial $p(\lambda)$ such that $\zeta_\lambda/p(\lambda)$ has no zeroes in $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. If F_λ and $\tilde{F}_\lambda$ are the extended frames of ζ_λ respectively $\zeta_\lambda/p(\lambda)$, then $F_\lambda(z) = \tilde{F}_\lambda(p(0)z)$.*

Proof. Suppose the polynomial Killing field $\zeta_\lambda(z) = F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\xi_\lambda F_\lambda(z)$ has a root at $\lambda = \alpha_0$. Define

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_0}\lambda - \sqrt{\alpha_0} & \text{if } \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1 \\ (\lambda - \alpha_0)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\lambda) & \text{for all } \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_0| \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If ζ_λ has a simple root at $\lambda = \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $\zeta_\lambda / p(\lambda) : \mathbb{C} \to \Lambda_{-1}^{g - \deg p}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ does not vanish at α_0. Then there is a map $C : \mathbb{C} \to \Lambda^+\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$F_\lambda(z)\, B_\lambda(z) = \exp(z\, \xi_\lambda) = \exp(p(\lambda)\, z\, \widetilde{\xi}_\lambda) = \exp(p(0)\, z\, \widetilde{\xi}_\lambda)\, C(z) = \widetilde{F}_\lambda(p(0)z)\widetilde{B}_\lambda(p(0)z)$$

and

$$F_\lambda(z) = \widetilde{F}_\lambda(p(0)\, z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}_\lambda(-\sqrt{\alpha_0} z) & \text{for } |\alpha_0| = 1 \\ \widetilde{F}_\lambda(-\alpha_0 z) & \text{for all } \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_0| \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence amongst all polynomial Killing fields that give rise to an extended frame of finite type there is one of smallest possible degree. □

We say that a polynomial Killing field has *minimal degree* if and only if it has neither roots nor poles in $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We summarize two results by Burstall-Pedit [5, 6]. The first part is a variant of Theorem 4.3 in [5], the second part follows immediately from results in [6].

Theorem 4.4. *For an extended frame of finite type there exists a unique polynomial Killing field of minimal degree. There is a smooth 1-1 correspondence between the set of extended frames of finite type and the set of polynomial Killing fields without zeroes.*

Proof. We briefly outline how to prove the existence and uniqueness of a minimal element. If the initial value ξ_λ gives rise to an extended frame F_λ, then the corresponding polynomial Killing field ζ_λ can be modified according to Proposition 4.3 so that $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ is of minimal degree, and still giving rise to F_λ. Hence there exists a polynomial Killing field of least degree giving rise to F_λ. For the uniqueness, assume we have two initial values ξ_λ, $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ of least degree g both giving rise to F_λ. Putting Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.8 in [6] together gives: Two finite type initial values give rise to the same associated family if and only if they commute and have equal residues. Since the residues coincide and both ξ_λ, $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ are of minimal degree, we conclude that $\xi_\lambda = \tilde{\xi}_\lambda$. The unique minimal polynomial Killing field is thus $\zeta_\lambda = F_\lambda^{-1} \xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda$. □

Remark 4.5. *Since the Iwasawa factorization is a diffeomorphism, and all other operations involved in obtaining an extended frame from a polynomial Killing field are smooth, the resulting minimal surface depends smoothly on the entries of the polynomial Killing field, and thus also smoothly on the entries of its initial value.*

For $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$ the polynomial $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ has the form

$$a(\lambda) = b \prod_{i=1}^{g} (\lambda - \alpha_i)(1 - \lambda \bar{\alpha}_i)\,, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^-\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The condition (2.8) implies $a(1) < 0$ so that $b \in \mathbb{R}^-$.

Lemma 4.6. (1) *If a has a double root $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, then we have an isomorphism*

$$I(a) \cong I(\alpha_0\, (\lambda - \alpha_0)^{-2}\, a)\ , \xi_\lambda \mapsto \sqrt{\alpha_0}(\lambda - \alpha_0)^{-1} \xi_\lambda\,.$$

(2) *If a has double root $\alpha_0 \notin \mathbb{S}^1$ then $I(a) = \{\xi_\lambda \in I(a) \mid \xi_{\alpha_0} \neq 0\} \cup \{\xi_\lambda \in I(a) \mid \xi_{\alpha_0} = 0\}$ and there is an isomorphism*

$$\{\xi_\lambda \in I(a) \mid \xi_{\alpha_0} = 0\} \cong I((\lambda - \alpha_0)^{-1}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda)^{-1}\, a)\ , \xi_\lambda \mapsto (\lambda - \alpha_0)^{-1}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\lambda)^{-1}\, \xi_\lambda$$

Proof. (1) If a has a double root at $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ then for any $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$, we have $\xi_{\alpha_0} = 0$. We can remove this root by Proposition 4.3.

(2) Suppose a has a double root at $\alpha_0 \notin \mathbb{S}^1$. Then the isospectral set splits into a part which contains potentials with a zero at α_0, which we can remove, and the set of potentials not zero at α_0. But in this last case, this means that ξ_{α_0} is a nilpotent matrix. □

Theorem 4.7. *Isospectral sets are compact. If the* $2g$*-roots of* $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ *for* $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ *are pairwise distinct, then* $I(a) \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^g$ *is a connected smooth* g*-dimensional manifold diffeomorphic to a* g*-dimensional real torus.*

The proof of Theorem 4.7 follows in several steps. The compactness is a consequence of the next Proposition 4.8. The second statement follows from Propositions 4.9 and 4.10. We shall prove several properties of the map

$$A : \mathcal{P}_g \to \mathcal{M}_g,\, \xi_\lambda \mapsto -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.8. *The map* A *in* (4.3) *is proper.*

Proof. Since A is continuous it suffices to show that pre-images of compact sets are bounded. Let $K \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ be compact. Then the map $\mathbb{S}^1 \times K \to \mathbb{R}$, $(\lambda,\, a) \mapsto \lambda^{-g} a(\lambda)$ is bounded. For the compactness of the pre-image it suffices to show that all Laurent-coefficients of a $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ are bounded, if $A(\xi_\lambda) \in K$. Fix a polynomial $a \in K$ and consider the isospectral set $I(a)$ as a closed subset of the $(3g+1)$-dimensional vector space $\mathcal{P}_g$. For $d = (1-g)/2$ the product $\lambda^d \xi_\lambda$ is traceless and skew-hermitian on $|\lambda| = 1$. The determinant of traceless skew-hermitian 2×2 matrices is the square of a norm. The Laurent-coefficients of $\xi_\lambda = \sum_{i=-1}^{g} \lambda^i\, \hat{\xi}_i$ are

$$\hat{\xi}_i = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbb{i}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \lambda^{-i} \xi_\lambda \, \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\,.$$

Using a norm gives

$$|\hat{\xi}_i| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbb{i}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\lambda^{(1-g)/2} \xi_\lambda| \, \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1} \sqrt{-\lambda^{-g} a(\lambda)}\,.$$

Thus each entry of $\hat{\xi}_i$ is bounded on $\mathbb{S}^1$, so A is proper and $I(a)$ therefore compact. □

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose* $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ *has no roots on* $\mathbb{C}^*$*. Then the map* A *in* (4.3) *has maximal rank* $2g+1$*. Let* $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$*. Then* $I(a)$ *is a* g*-dimensional sub-manifold of* $\mathcal{P}_g$*.*

Proof. Since det is the square of a norm on $\mathfrak{su}_2$, at all roots of a on $\mathbb{S}^1$, the corresponding $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$ has to vanish. If ξ_λ is without roots, then $a \in \mathcal{M}_g^0$. We show that the derivative of the map A has rank 2g+1 at a potential ξ_λ without roots, and then invoke the implicit function theorem. Hence it suffices to prove that for all roots α_0 of a of order n, and all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a tangent vector $\dot{\xi}_\lambda$ along $\mathcal{P}_g$ at ξ_λ, such that the corresponding derivative of a is equal to

$$\dot{a}(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda \operatorname{tr}(\xi_\lambda^{-1} \dot{\xi}_\lambda) = \frac{\beta a(\lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha_0)^m} + \frac{\lambda^m \bar{\beta} a(\lambda)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda)^m} \text{ with } m = 1,\, \ldots,\, n\,.$$

Such a vector field fixes all root of a except α_0. The set of these vector fields form a 2g-dimensional real vector space. We will consider $\dot{\xi}_\lambda = t\,\xi_\lambda$ with $t > 0$ to ensure the constant b in (4.2) satisfies $b \in \mathbb{R}^-$. Then we have

$$\dot{a}(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda \operatorname{tr}(\xi_\lambda^{-1} \dot{\xi}_\lambda) = -2\lambda t \det \xi_\lambda\,.$$

This vector field preserves all roots of $a(\lambda)$, but changes $a(1)$ with variational field $\dot{a}(1) = ta(1)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^-$ is changing non-trivially. This will prove the theorem.

Now we construct vector fields $\dot{\xi}_\lambda$. If α_0 is a root of a of order n, then $\det \xi_{\alpha_0}$ vanishes, and ξ_{α_0} is nilpotent. For a nonzero nilpotent 2×2-matrix ξ_{α_0} there exists a matrix $Q \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ such that $\xi_{\alpha_0} = [Q,\, \xi_{\alpha_0}]$. To prove this remark, observe that it holds if $\xi_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, by setting $Q_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The general statement now follows since there exists $g \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ with $\xi_{\alpha_0} = g^{-1} \xi_0 g$, and setting $Q = g^{-1} Q_0 g$.

We need the following basic fact: For $A,\, B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $A \neq 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(AB) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow B = [C, A] \;\text{ with some } C \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})\,. \tag{4.4}$$

For any $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$, we have $\xi_\lambda^2 = -\det(\xi_\lambda)\,\mathbb{1}$ and at a root α_0 of $a(\lambda)$ of order n, there exists for any $m = 1, ..., n$, a matrix

$$\hat{Q}_\lambda(m) = Q_0 + Q_1(\lambda - \alpha_0) + ... + Q_{m-1}(\lambda - \alpha_0)^{m-1}$$

with $Q_0, \ldots, Q_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, such that $\xi_\lambda - [\hat{Q}_\lambda(m),\, \xi_\lambda]$ has at α_0 a root of order m. The matrix $\hat{Q}_\lambda(m)$ is constructed inductively using (4.4). We remark that at α_0, the function $\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\xi_\lambda^2)$ has a root of order n. Then there is Q_0 such that $\xi_\lambda - [Q_0,\, \xi_\lambda] = (\lambda - \alpha_0)\xi_{\lambda,1}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\xi_\lambda(\xi_\lambda - [Q_0,\, \xi_\lambda])) = (\lambda - \alpha_0)\operatorname{tr}(\xi_\lambda \xi_{\lambda,1})$ has a root at α_0 of order n. Then there is a matrix Q_1 with $\xi_{\lambda,1} - [Q_1,\, \xi_\lambda] = (\lambda - \alpha_0)Q_2$. Now we define for $m = 1, ..., n$

$$\tilde{Q}_\lambda(m) = -{}^t\bar{Q}_0 - {}^t\bar{Q}_1(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\lambda)\lambda^{-1} - ... - {}^t\bar{Q}_{m-1}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\lambda)^{m-1}\lambda^{m-1}.$$

Then $\xi_\lambda - [\tilde{Q}_\lambda(m),\, \xi_\lambda]$ has at $\bar{\alpha}_0^{-1}$ a root of order m. Now we define

$$q_m(\lambda) = \frac{\beta}{(\lambda - \alpha_0)^m} + \frac{\lambda^m\bar{\beta}}{(1 - \alpha_0\lambda)^m} \text{ and } Q_\lambda(m) = \frac{\beta}{(\lambda - \alpha_0)^m}\hat{Q}_\lambda(m) + \frac{\lambda^m\bar{\beta}}{(1 - \alpha_0\lambda)^m}\tilde{Q}_\lambda(m).$$

There exists some $P \in \mathfrak{su}_2$, such that

$$\dot{\xi}_\lambda = q_m(\lambda)\xi_\lambda - [Q_\lambda(m),\, \xi_\lambda] + [P,\, \xi_\lambda] \in T_{\xi_\lambda}\mathcal{P}_g\,.$$

To see that we need to check $\dot{\hat{\xi}}_{-1} \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $|\dot{a}|(0) = 0$. Note that if $A \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ and $B = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $[A,\, B] = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \mathbb{i}x \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we can choose $P \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ such that

$$\dot{\hat{\xi}}_{-1} = \frac{\beta\xi_{-1} - \beta[Q_0(m),\, \xi_{-1}]}{(-\alpha_0)^n} + [P,\, \xi_{-1}] \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the second condition, we have $(\dot{a}\bar{a} + a\dot{\bar{a}})(0) = |a|^2(0)(-\alpha_0)^{-n}(\beta + \bar{\beta})$ and we can choose $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, such that the variational field keeps $|a(0)|$ unchanged along the deformation. This proves that $\dot{\xi}_\lambda \in T_{\xi_\lambda}\mathcal{P}_g$, and such vector fields span a $2g+1$ real vector space of vector fields in the complement of the kernel of the map. This proves that around ξ_λ without zeroes, $I(a)$ is a real g-dimensional manifold. □

Proposition 4.10. *For all $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ without roots the vector fields of the isospectral group action generate at ξ_λ a real g-dimensional subspace of the tangent space $\mathcal{P}_g$ at ξ_λ.*

Proof. The vector field $(t_0, \ldots, t_{g-1})$ of the isospectral action at ξ takes the values

$$\dot{\xi}_\lambda = \big[\big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^+,\, \xi_\lambda\big] = -\big[\big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^-,\, \xi_\lambda\big]\,.$$

Here

$$\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda = \big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^+ + \big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^-$$

is the Lie algebra decomposition of the Iwasawa decomposition. For $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, with $A \neq 0$, we have that $\{B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid [A,\, B] = 0\} = \{xA \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Hence the vector field corresponding to $(t_0, \ldots, t_{g-1})$ vanishes at ξ_λ if and only if there exists a decomposition of the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i = f^+ + f^-$ into complex functions such that

$$\big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^+ = f^+\xi_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \big(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i\,\xi_\lambda\big)^- = f^-\xi_\lambda\,.$$

This implies that f^+ obeys $\overline{f^+(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = \lambda^g f^+(\lambda)$ and f^- vanishes at $\lambda = 0$. The polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\lambda^{-i}t_i$ is a linear combination of such functions, if and only if $t_{g-1-i} = \bar{t}_i$. The subspace of such $(t_0, \ldots, t_{g-1})$ is a real g-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^g$. This implies the proposition. □

Recall $\mathcal{M}_g = \{a \in \mathbb{C}^{2g}[\lambda] \mid a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda \text{ with } \xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g\}$ from (2.9), and define

$$\mathcal{M}_g^1 = \{a \in \mathcal{M}_g \mid \ a \text{ has } 2g\text{-pairwise distinct roots }\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_g^1 = \{\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g \mid a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_g^1\}.$$

Proposition 4.11. *For all $a \in \mathcal{M}_g^1$, the isospectral action $\pi : \mathbb{R}^g \times I(a) \to I(a)$ acts transitively on $I(a)$ and the mapping $A : \mathcal{P}_g^1 \to \mathcal{M}_g^1$ is a principal bundle with fibre $I(a) = (\mathbb{S}^1)^g$.*

Proof. At all roots of $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$, the determinant $\det \xi_\lambda$ has a double root. Hence all $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g^1$ have no roots on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Proposition 4.9 implies that $A : \mathcal{P}_g^1 \to \mathcal{M}_g^1$ has maximal rank $2g + 1$ and induces a fibre bundle, whose fibres are real g-dimensional manifolds. The isospectral action preserves the determinant and thus the fibres. Proposition 4.10 implies that for all $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g^1$ the corresponding orbit of the isospectral action is an open submanifold of the corresponding fibre. If $\pi(t_n)\,\xi_\lambda$ converges to $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g^1$ for a sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^g$, then the orbit of $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is again an open submanifold of the corresponding fibre. Therefore $\pi(t_n)\,\xi_\lambda$ belongs to the orbit of $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\pi(t_n)\,\xi_\lambda = \pi(t'_n)\,\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ and $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = \pi(t_n - t'_n)\,\xi_\lambda$ is in the orbit of ξ_λ. This shows that the orbits of the isospectral set $I(a)$ are open and closed submanifolds of the fibre. Due to Proposition 4.8 the fibers are compact. Therefore all orbits are compact as well. Due to Proposition 4.10, for all $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g^1$ the stabilizer subgroup

$$\Gamma_{\xi_\lambda} = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^g \mid \pi(t)\,\xi_\lambda = \xi_\lambda\} \tag{4.5}$$

is discrete and $\mathbb{R}^g/\Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$ is diffeomorphic to the connected component of the fibre of ξ_λ. We conclude that Γ_{ξ_λ} is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^g$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^g$. This shows that $A : \mathcal{P}_g^1 \to \mathcal{M}_g^1$ is a fibre bundle, whose fibres have connected components all isomorphic to $(\mathbb{S}^1)^g$.

It remains to prove that $I(a)$ has only one connected component. Now $\mathcal{M}_g^0$ (see (2.9)) is a convex open subset of a $2g+1$-dimensional real vector space and hence connected. Since $\mathcal{M}_g^1$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}_g^0$, whose complement $\mathcal{M}_g^0 \setminus \mathcal{M}_g^1$ has codimension at least 2, we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_g^1$ is connected and $A : \mathcal{P}_g^1 \to \mathcal{M}_g^1$ has maximal rank $2g + 1$ at any point. Hence it remains to show that there exists at least one $a \in \mathcal{M}_g^1$, such that $I(a)$ has only one connected component.

Denote the entries of $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ by polynomials α, β, γ so that $\xi_\lambda = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda = \lambda\alpha^2 + \lambda\beta\gamma$. Here α is a polynomial of degree at most $g - 1$. For $|\lambda| = 1$ the polynomial $\lambda^{\frac{1-g}{2}}\alpha \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda^{1-g}\alpha^2 \leq 0$, and therefore $\lambda^{1-g}\beta\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now we consider a potential ξ_λ with $\lambda\beta = \gamma$, and γ has only roots on $|\lambda| = 1$. We claim in this case that $I(a)$ is connected. This condition on β implies that $a(\lambda) = \lambda\alpha^2 + \gamma^2$ and $\lambda^g\overline{\gamma(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = -\lambda\beta(\lambda) = -\gamma(\lambda)$. Now observe that $\lambda^{-g}\gamma^2 \leq 0$ and $\lambda^{-g}a(\lambda) \leq \lambda^{1-g}\alpha^2 \leq 0$.

Let $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in I(a)$ with entries $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$. We construct a family $\xi_{\lambda,t} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_t & \beta_t \\ \gamma_t & -\alpha_t\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\alpha_t = t\alpha + (1-t)\tilde{\alpha}$. We prove that there exist polynomials β_t, γ_t uniquely defined such that $\xi_{\lambda,t} \in I(a)$ for all $t \in [0,\, 1]$, with $\beta_1 = \beta$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ and $\beta_0 = \tilde{\beta}$, $\gamma_0 = \tilde{\gamma}$.

Since $\lambda^{1-g}\tilde{\alpha}^2 \leq 0$ for $|\lambda| = 1$ we have $\lambda^{1-g}\alpha_t^2 \leq 0$ for all $t \in [0,\, 1]$. Now we consider the polynomial $p_t(\lambda) = a(\lambda) - \lambda^{1-g}\alpha_t^2$. If α_0 is a root of $p_t(\lambda)$, then $\bar{\alpha}_0^{-1}$ is a root of $p_t(\lambda)$. For $t = 0$ we know that $p_0(\lambda) = \lambda\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\gamma}$ where roots of $\tilde{\beta}$ are symmetric to the roots of $\tilde{\gamma}$. At roots of $p_t(\lambda)$ we can define β_t and γ_t with $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+$. At $t = 1$, we have $p(\lambda) = \gamma^2$ and all the roots of p_1 are double roots on the unit circle. Then γ is defined uniquely, and $I(a)$ is connected, if we can find such a path with $a \in \mathcal{M}_g^1$.

Therefore we consider $\gamma = \lambda^g + 1$ and $\alpha = \mathbb{i}\delta(\lambda^{g-1} + 1)$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $0 = \lambda^g + 1 = \lambda^{g-1} + 1$ implies $\lambda^{g-1}(1 - \lambda) = 0$. Then the polynomials γ and α do not have common roots. Hence at $\delta = 0$ we have $\lambda^{-g}a(\lambda) = \lambda^{1-g}\alpha^2 + \lambda^{-g}\gamma^2 \leq 0$ for $|\lambda| = 1$, and a has only double roots on the unit circle. For $\delta > 0$ small enough, the roots change. But there are no roots on the unit circle and the roots are simple and conjugate, so that $a \in \mathcal{M}_g^1$. □

5. Periods

Suppose $X_\lambda(z) = (G_\lambda(z),\, h_\lambda(z))$ is an associated family of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. For one member of this family to be periodic, say for $\lambda = 1$ with period $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^*$, this means that $X_1(z+\tau) = X_1(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, or equivalently $G_1(z+\tau) = G_1(z)$ and $h_1(z+\tau) = h_1(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, if $G_\lambda(z) = F_\lambda(z)\, \sigma_3\, F_\lambda(z)^{-1}$ and $h_\lambda(z) = \mathrm{Re}(-4\mathbb{i}\sqrt{\beta_{-1}\gamma_0\lambda^{-1}}z) = \mathrm{Re}(-\mathbb{i}e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta/2}\sqrt{\lambda^{-1}}z)$ (where $Q = \frac{1}{4}e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta}(dz)^2$) then periodicity reads

$$\big[F_1^{-1}(z)F_1(z+\tau),\, \sigma_3\big] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Re}(-\mathbb{i}e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta/2}\,\tau) = 0\,.$$

The **monodromy** of an extended frame F_λ with respect to the period τ is the matrix

$$M_\lambda(\tau) = F_\lambda(z)^{-1}\, F_\lambda(z+\tau)\,.$$

Thus periodicity of the horizontal part reads $[M_\lambda(\tau),\, \sigma_3] = 0$. Due to (1.3), the monodromy $\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \mapsto M_\lambda(\tau)$ is a holomorphic map with essential singularities at $\lambda = 0,\, \infty$.

For a periodic immersion its conformal factor ω is periodic, and hence also $\alpha(\omega)$ in (1.3) is periodic. This in turn implies that $dM_\lambda(\tau) = 0$ so that $M_\lambda(\tau)$ does not depend on z. Hence $M_\lambda(\tau) = F_\lambda(0)^{-1}\, F_\lambda(\tau) = F_\lambda(\tau)$ since $F_\lambda(0) = \mathbb{1}$.

Let ζ_λ be a periodic solution of the Lax equation (2.3) with initial value $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$, with period τ so that $\zeta_\lambda(z+\tau) = \zeta_\lambda(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then also the corresponding $\alpha(\zeta_\lambda)$ in (3.3) is τ-periodic. Let $dF_\lambda = F_\lambda\alpha(\xi_\lambda)$, $F_\lambda(0) = \mathbb{1}$ and $M_\lambda(\tau) = F_\lambda(\tau)$ be the monodromy with respect to τ. Then for $z = 0$ we have $\xi_\lambda = \zeta_\lambda(0) = \zeta_\lambda(\tau) = F_\lambda^{-1}(\tau)\,\xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda(\tau) = M_\lambda^{-1}(\tau)\,\xi_\lambda\, M_\lambda(\tau)$ and thus

$$[\, M_\lambda(\tau),\, \xi_\lambda\,] = 0\,.$$

The monodromy takes values in SU_2 for $|\lambda| = 1$. The monodromy depends on the choice of base point, but its conjugacy class and hence eigenvalues μ, μ^{-1} do not. The eigenspaces of $M(\lambda,\, \tau)$ depend holomorphically on $(\nu,\, \lambda)$. The eigenvalues of ξ_λ and $M_\lambda(\tau)$ are different functions on the spectral curve Σ.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $M_\lambda = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Lambda\mathrm{SU}_2$ *and* $\zeta_\lambda = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_g$ *with* $[M_\lambda,\, \zeta_\lambda] = 0$. *Assume* $\nu \neq 0$ *and* $\mu^2 \neq 1$. *Then* M_λ *and* ζ_λ *have same eigenvectors* $\psi_+ = (1,\, (\nu - \alpha)/\beta)$, $\psi_- = (1,\, -(\nu + \alpha)/\beta)$ *with*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\lambda\psi_+ &= \nu\,\psi_+ \quad \textit{and} \quad & M\psi_+ &= \mu\,\psi_+\,, \\ \zeta_\lambda\psi_- &= -\nu\,\psi_- \;\textit{and} \quad & M\psi_- &= \mu^{-1}\,\psi_-\,. \end{aligned}$$

The involution $\eta : (\lambda, \nu) \to (\bar\lambda^{-1}, \bar\lambda^{1-g}\bar\nu)$ *acts on* μ *by* $\eta^*\mu = \bar\mu$.

Proof. From the reality condition $\lambda^{g-1}\overline{\zeta_{1/\bar\lambda}}^{\,t} = -\zeta_\lambda$ or equivalently $\zeta_{1/\bar\lambda} = -\bar\lambda^{1-g}\overline{\zeta_\lambda}^{\,t}$ and with $\tilde\psi_- = (1,\, -(\bar\nu + \bar\alpha)/\bar\gamma)$ we have

$$\zeta_{1/\bar\lambda}\tilde\psi_- = -\bar\lambda^{1-g} \begin{pmatrix} \bar\alpha & \bar\gamma \\ \bar\beta & -\bar\alpha \end{pmatrix} \tilde\psi_- = \bar\lambda^{1-g}\bar\nu\,\tilde\psi_-\,.$$

Since $\overline{M_{1/\bar\lambda}}^{\,t\;-1} = M_\lambda$, and $M_\lambda\psi_+ = \mu\,\psi_+$, and $\psi_+ = (1,\, (\nu - \alpha)/\beta) = (1,\, \gamma/(\nu + \alpha))$, we obtain the system

$$A + C\frac{\gamma}{\nu + \alpha} = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad B + D\frac{\gamma}{\nu + \alpha} = \mu\frac{\gamma}{\nu + \alpha}$$

This implies by direct computation that $M_{1/\bar\lambda}\,\tilde\psi_- = \overline{M_\lambda^{-1}}^{\,t}\,\tilde\psi_- = \bar\mu\,\tilde\psi_-$. □

At $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$ a monodromy $M_\lambda(\tau)$ has essential singularities. Next we study the behavior of $\mu = \mu(\lambda,\, \tau)$ at these two points.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider* $\zeta_\lambda(z) = F_\lambda(z)^{-1}\,\xi_\lambda\,F_\lambda(z)$, *and* $\psi = \psi(\lambda,\, z) = (1,\, h(\lambda,\, z))$ *an eigenvector of* $\zeta_\lambda(z)$. *Then there exists a complex function* $f = f(\lambda,\, z)$ *such that*

$$f(\lambda,\, z)\,\psi(\lambda,\, z) = F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\,\psi(\lambda,\, 0) \tag{5.1}$$

which satisfies

1. $f^{-1}df = -\tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\lambda^{-1/2}\exp(\mathbb{i}\Theta/2)\,dz + O(1)$ *in a neighborhood of* $\lambda = 0$
2. $f^{-1}df = -\tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\lambda^{1/2}\exp(-\mathbb{i}\Theta/2)\,d\bar{z} + O(1)$ *in a neighborhood of* $\lambda = \infty$.

Proof. Note that the functions $h(\lambda,\, z)$ and $f(\lambda,\, z)$ are 2-valued in λ, because they depend on the choice of eigenvalue. By Proposition 5.1 an eigenvector of the matrix

$$\zeta_\lambda(z) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha(\lambda,\, z) & \beta(\lambda,\, z) \\ \gamma(\lambda,\, z) & -\alpha(\lambda,\, z) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

associate to the eigenvalue $\nu = \sqrt{a(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}}$ is given by $\psi(\lambda,\, z) = (1,\, (\nu - \alpha)/\beta)$. Now using $\zeta_\lambda(z) = F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\,\xi_\lambda\,F_\lambda(z)$ we see that $F_\lambda^{-1}(z)\,\psi(\lambda,\, 0)$ is an eigenvector of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ and it is collinear to $\psi(\lambda,\, z)$. This defines the function $f(\lambda,\, z)$.

Differentiating (5.1) reads $df\,\psi + f\,d\psi = -\alpha(\zeta_\lambda)F_\lambda^{-1}\,\psi|_{z=0}$, and then

$$f^{-1}df\,\psi = -\alpha(\zeta_\lambda)\,\psi - d\psi\,. \tag{5.2}$$

At a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$, we have $\nu^2 = -\det\zeta_\lambda = \frac{\lambda\alpha^2 + \lambda\beta\gamma}{\lambda} = \frac{\beta_{-1}\gamma_0}{\lambda} + O(1) = \frac{-e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta}}{16\lambda} + O(1)$. Expanding at $\lambda = 0$

$$\alpha(\zeta_\lambda) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_{-1}\lambda^{-1} \\ \gamma_0 & -\alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)dz + O(1)\,,$$

and considering the first entry of the vector equation (5.2) yields

$$f^{-1}df = -\alpha_0 dz - \beta_{-1}\lambda^{-1}\frac{\nu - \alpha(z)}{\beta(z)}dz + O(1) = -\nu dz + O(1) = \frac{-\mathbb{i}e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta/2}}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}dz + O(1)\,.$$

At a neighborhood of $\lambda = \infty$, we have

$$\alpha(\zeta_\lambda) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\bar{\alpha}_0 & -\bar{\gamma}_0 \\ -\bar{\beta}_{-1}\lambda & \bar{\alpha}_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)d\bar{z} + O(1)$$

and we obtain (ii) by considering the eigenvector $\psi = \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu - \alpha},\, 1\right)$ and looking at the second entry

$$f^{-1}df = \bar{\beta}_{-1}\lambda\frac{\beta}{\nu - \alpha}d\bar{z} + O(1) = \frac{\bar{\beta}_{-1}\beta_{g-1}}{\sqrt{-a_{2g}}}\sqrt{\lambda}d\bar{z} + O(1) = \frac{-\mathbb{i}e^{-\mathbb{i}\Theta/2}\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}d\bar{z} + O(1)\,.$$

Further, $\hat{\xi}_d = -\bar{\hat{\xi}}^t_{g-1-d}$ implies $\beta_{g-1} = -\bar{\gamma}_0$ and $a_{2g} = -\beta_{g-1}\gamma_g = -\bar{\gamma}_0\bar{\beta}_{-1} = e^{-\mathbb{i}\Theta}/16$. $\square$

Using these properties we compute the local behavior of $\mu(\lambda,\, \tau)$ near $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $X : A \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *be an immersed finite type minimal cylinder with spectral curve* Σ. *Then there exists a meromorphic differential* $d\ln\mu$ *on* Σ *with second order poles without residues at* $\lambda = 0,\, \infty$ *so that* $d\ln\mu - \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\tau\exp(\mathbb{i}\Theta/2)\,d\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1}$ *extends holomorphically to* $\lambda = 0$, *and* $d\ln\mu - \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\bar{\tau}\exp(-\mathbb{i}\Theta/2)\,d\sqrt{\lambda}$ *extends holomorphically to* $\lambda = \infty$.

This differential is the logarithmic derivative of a function $\mu : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ *which transforms under the involutions* (2.12) *as* $\sigma^*\mu = \mu^{-1}$, $\rho^*\mu = \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ *and* $\eta^*\mu = \bar{\mu}$. *Further* $\mu = \pm 1$ *at each branch point of* Σ.

Proof. If $\nu \neq 0$, the eigenspace of ζ_λ is a complex 1-dimensional vector space and since $[M_\lambda,\, \zeta_\lambda] = 0$, every eigenvector ψ of ζ_λ with associated eigenvalue $\nu \neq 0$ is an eigenvector of M_λ with eigenvalue μ which depends only on $(\nu,\, \lambda)$. If $\nu = 0$ then $\mu = \pm 1$. Note that μ is a non-zero holomorphic function on $\Sigma\backslash\{0, \infty\}$. At $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$, the monodromy has essential singularities and we thus need the local analysis of Lemma 5.2. If τ is the period of the annulus, we have $\psi(\tau) = \psi(0)$ and $f(\tau)\psi(0) = F_\lambda^{-1}\psi(0)$. Then $\mu = f^{-1}(\tau)$. This proves that at $\lambda = 0$, $d\ln\mu - \frac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\exp(\mathbb{i}\Theta/2)\,\tau\,d\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1}$ is holomorphic, and at $\lambda = \infty$ the differential

$d\ln\mu - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\exp(-\mathrm{i}\Theta/2)\,\bar{\tau}\,d\sqrt{\lambda}$ extends holomorphically. The differential $d\ln\mu$ has second order pole without residues at $\lambda = 0$ and at $\lambda = \infty$.

If ψ_+ and ψ_- are eigenvectors associated to eigenvalues $\pm\nu$ of ζ_λ, the corresponding eigenvalues $\mu_\pm$ of M_λ with $\mu_+\mu_- = 1$. To see how the involution η acts on μ, we note that since M_λ satisfies (1.4), we have that $\bar{\mu}$ is the corresponding eigenvalue of $M_{1/\bar{\lambda}}$ associated to μ by Proposition 5.1. Thus $\eta^*\mu = \bar{\mu}$. Similarly $\rho^*\mu = \bar{\mu}$ since ρ has no fixed point on Σ.

If $\nu = 0$, then $\det\zeta_\lambda = 0$, so let $(\tilde{e}_1,\, \tilde{e}_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\zeta_\lambda(\tilde{e}_1) = 0$ and $\zeta_\lambda(\tilde{e}_2) = \delta\tilde{e}_1$. Since $M_\lambda \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we set the basis $(e_1,\, e_2)$ of eigenvector of M_λ associate to μ and μ^{-1} and $\zeta_\lambda(e_1) = \kappa_1\delta\tilde{e}_1$, $\zeta_\lambda(e_2) = \kappa_2\delta\tilde{e}_1$. Inserting this in $M_\lambda\zeta_\lambda(e_i) = \zeta_\lambda M_\lambda(e_1)$ proves that $\mu = \mu^{-1}$. This proves that the holomorphic function μ take values ± 1 at each branch points of Σ. ☐

Next we relate the eigenfunction μ with the isospectral action. We prove in particular that the existence of such a holomorphic function is a sufficient condition to close the period of a polynomial Killing field with any initial potential.

Proposition 5.4. *The stabilizer* Γ_{ξ_λ} *in* (4.5) *depends only on the orbit of* ξ_λ*. If* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$ *satisfies* $F_\lambda(\gamma) = \pm\mathbb{1}$ *for some* $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ *then the same is true for every element in the orbit of* ξ_λ*. The period* τ *is related to* $t = (\tau,\, 0\,, ...,\, 0) \in \Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$.

Proof. This is the direct consequence of the commuting property of the isospectral action. We have only to remark that $\pi(\gamma)\xi_\lambda = \xi_\lambda$ implies that $\pi(\gamma)\pi(t)\,\xi_\lambda = \pi(t)\pi(\gamma)\,\xi_\lambda = \pi(t)\,\xi_\lambda$. ☐

Proposition 5.5. *Assume* $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ *has no roots. Then* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$ *if and only if there exists on* Σ *a function* μ *which satisfies the following properties:*

1. μ *is holomorphic on* Σ^* *and there exist holomorphic functions* f, g *on* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *with* $\mu = f\,\nu + g$.
2. $\sigma^*\mu = \mu^{-1}, \rho^*\mu = \bar{\mu}^{-1}, \eta^*\mu = \bar{\mu}$ *and* $\mu = \pm 1$ *at each branch point* α_i *of* Σ.
3. $d\ln\mu$ *is a meromorphic differential one form with* $d\ln\mu - d(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\gamma_i\lambda^{-i}\nu)$ *holomorphic in a neighborhood of* $\lambda = 0$ *and* $d\ln\mu + d(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\bar{\gamma}_i\lambda^{i+1-g}\nu)$ *is holomorphic at* $\lambda = \infty$.

Proof. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^g$, we write $\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\gamma_i\lambda^{-i}\xi_\lambda) = F_\lambda(\gamma)B_\lambda(\gamma)$ for the Iwasawa decomposition. Then $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$ if and only if $[F_\lambda(\gamma),\, \xi_\lambda] = [B_\lambda(\gamma),\, \xi_\lambda] = 0$. Hence $F_\lambda(\gamma)$ and $B_\lambda(\gamma)$ act trivially on ξ_λ and $\xi_\lambda = \zeta_\lambda(0) = \zeta_\lambda(\gamma) = F_\lambda^{-1}(\gamma)\,\xi_\lambda\,F_\lambda(\gamma) = B_\lambda(\gamma)\,\xi_\lambda\,B_\lambda^{-1}(\gamma)$. Since $F_\lambda(\gamma)$ and $B_\lambda(\gamma)$ commute with ξ_λ, we have by (4.4) that $F_\lambda(\gamma) = f(\lambda)\,\xi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(F_\lambda(\gamma))\,\mathbb{1}$ and $B_\lambda(\gamma) = e(\lambda)\,\xi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(B_\lambda(\gamma))\,\mathbb{1}$ with functions $e\,, f$ depending only on λ. In this case we define on the spectral curve Σ the eigenvalue function $\mu(\lambda)$ of $F_\lambda(\gamma)$ by

$$\mu(\lambda) = f(\lambda)\,\nu + g(\lambda) = f(\lambda)\,\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(F_\lambda(\gamma)).$$

We prove that μ satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3). Since $\lambda \to F_\lambda(\gamma)$ is holomorphic, the function $\lambda \to \mathrm{tr}(F_\lambda(\gamma))$ is holomorphic on $\Sigma \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Since $F_\lambda(\gamma)$ and ξ_λ commute, can write $f\,\xi_\lambda = F_\lambda(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(F_\lambda(\gamma))\mathbb{1})$, and since ξ_λ has no zeroes conclude that $\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda)$ is holomorphic. Hence $\mu : \tilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and f, g have no poles on $\mathbb{C}^*$, proving (1).

Property (2) follows since μ is the eigenvalue of $F_\lambda(\gamma)$ and F_λ satisfies (1.4).

At $\lambda = 0$, the eigenvalue of the matrix $B_\lambda(\gamma) = e(\lambda)\xi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{trace}(B_\lambda(\gamma))\mathbb{1}$ is holomorphic, so $e(0) = 0$ (ξ_λ has a pole at $\lambda = 0$). Hence $B_\lambda(\gamma) = \mathbb{1}$ at $\lambda = 0$. Now we have only to remark that

$$\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\gamma_i\lambda^{-i}\nu)$$

is the product of eigenvalue of the product $F_\lambda(\gamma)B_\lambda(\gamma)$. Then at $\lambda = 0$, this is precisely the value of μ, which proves (3). Similarly, using $\rho^* d\ln\mu = \overline{d\ln\mu}$ allows to deal with the point $\lambda = \infty$.

Conversely, we assume there is a function $\mu : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies conditions (1),(2) and (3). Assume μ can be written with two holomorphic function f, $g : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\mu = f\nu + g$. Hence

$F = f\,\xi_\lambda + g\,\mathbb{1}$ is holomorphic on $\Sigma \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ and belongs to the first factor of the Iwasawa decomposition (a consequence of (ii)). Due to (iii), the matrix

$$B_\lambda = F_\lambda^{-1} \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \gamma_i \lambda^{-i} \xi_\lambda)$$

is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$ and $[B_\lambda,\, \xi_\lambda] = 0$. Since $B_\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(B_\lambda)\mathbb{1}$ is at $\lambda = 0$ proportional to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, B_λ belongs to the second factor of the Iwasawa decomposition. This shows that $F_\lambda B_\lambda$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of

$$\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \gamma_i \lambda^{-i} \xi_\lambda) = F_\lambda B_\lambda$$

Since $[F_\lambda(\gamma),\, \xi_\lambda] = 0$, we conclude that $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\xi_\lambda}$. ☐

Remark 5.6. *Holomorphic functions $f,\, g : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ are given by*

$$\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\tfrac{\mu - \sigma^*\mu}{\nu}\big)\,\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(\mu + \sigma^*\mu) = f\,\nu + g.$$

Theorem 8.2 in Forster [11] *assures that f, g extend to holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^*$. At fixed points of the involution σ (zeroes of ν), the function $\mu - \sigma^*\mu$ has zeroes.*

In case ν has higher order roots, the function $(\mu - \sigma^*\mu)/\nu$ may have a pole. Then the condition that $\mu = f\nu + g$ is stronger than μ being holomorphic on Σ^*.

The 1-form $d\ln\mu$ is meromorphic on Σ, and changes sign under the hyperelliptic involution.

The closing conditions for regular spectral curves. In the following we restrict to the case where a has only simple roots. Then the spectral curve Σ is a hyperelliptic curve without singularities. The closing condition is simplest since we only need to check the existence of the holomorphic ν by Remark 5.6.

Proposition 5.7. *Let a be a complex polynomial of degree $\deg a = 2g$ satisfying* (2.7) *and* (2.8). *Then on Σ* (2.11) *there exist for all $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ a unique meromorphic differential ϕ such that*

1. $\sigma^*\phi = -\phi$, $\rho^* = -\bar{\phi}$, $\eta^*\phi = \bar{\phi}$
2. *ϕ has second order poles at 0 and ∞ without residues, and no other poles.*
3. $\phi = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\,\tau e^{\mathbb{i}\Theta/2} d\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} + O(1)$ *at $\lambda = 0$ and* $\phi = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\,\bar{\tau} e^{-\mathbb{i}\Theta/2} d\sqrt{\lambda} + O(1)$ *at $\lambda = \infty$.*
4. $\int_{\alpha_i}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \phi = \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\alpha_i}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \phi\right) = 0$ *for all roots α_i of a where the integral is computed along the line segment $[\alpha_i, 1/\bar{\alpha}_i]$.*

In conclusion there exists a unique polynomial b of degree $g+1$ such that $\phi = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2}$ which satisfies $\lambda^{g+1}\bar{b}(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) = -b(\lambda)$.

Proof. We make the Ansatz that $\phi = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2}$. The conditions (1), (2) and (3) fix the highest and lowest coefficient of b, so there remain g real free coefficients of b. These coefficients correspond to holomorphic differentials satisfying condition (4) that $\mathrm{Re}(\int_{\alpha_i}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \phi) = 0$. The first equality comes from the reality condition (1) and reads

$$\int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i/|\alpha_i|} \phi = \overline{\int_{\alpha_i/|\alpha_i|}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \phi}\,.$$

Concerning uniqueness, holomorphic differentials whose integrals along cycles are imaginary, are zero by Riemann's bilinear relations. ☐

Definition 5.8. *We define a compact Riemann surface with boundary by $\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma \setminus \cup\gamma_i$ where γ_i are closed cycles over the straight lines connecting α_i and $1/\bar{\alpha}_i$.*

Corollary 5.9. *Let a be a complex polynomial with* $\deg a = 2g$ *satisfying* (2.7) *and* (2.8).

1) *If there is $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and b which satisfies* (1)-(4) *of Proposition 5.7, then there exists a unique meromorphic function $h(\lambda) : \hat{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma^* h(\lambda) = -h(\lambda)$ and $dh = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2}$.*

2) *$I(a)$ corresponds to minimal annuli in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\tau e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta/2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and such that the polynomial b defining the function $h(\lambda) : \hat{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{C}$, satisfies $\sigma^* h(\lambda) = -h(\lambda)$ and $dh = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}$, and attains values in $\pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z}$ at all roots of $(\lambda - 1)\, a(\lambda)$.*

Proof. 1) On a small neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$, the function h is uniquely determined by $dh = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2}$ up to some additive constant. This constant is determined by $\sigma^* h(\lambda) = -h(\lambda)$ in this small neighborhood. By conditions on b have $\int_{\gamma_i} dh = 0$, so

$$\int_{\gamma_i} \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2} = 2 \int_{\alpha_i}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2} = 0\,.$$

Now we can uniquely extend the function h to $\hat{\Sigma}$.

2) For an immersed annulus $X_1(z) = (F_1(z)\sigma_3 F_1^{-1}(z), \operatorname{Re}(-\mathrm{i}e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta/2} z))$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ the extended frame $F_\lambda(z)$ admits a period $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\tau e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta/2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and periodic Killing field $\zeta_\lambda(z + \tau) = \zeta_\lambda(z)$. This implies that $d\ln\mu$ is a meromorphic differential with second order pole at 0 and ∞ without residues and no other poles and satisfies condition (1)-(3) of Proposition 5.7. The integrals of $d\ln\mu$ along closed cycles are integer multiples of $2\pi\mathrm{i}$, since the function μ is globally single-valued by condition (4). Since the extended frame $F_1(z)$ is periodic then $\mu(1) = \pm 1$. Since $\mu^2 = 1$ at branch points α_i, it is equivalent to the condition $\ln\mu(\alpha_i) \in \mathrm{i}\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Hence there is a polynomial b associated to $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d\ln\mu = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}$.

Conversely, consider $(a,\, b)$ such that on the spectral curve Σ the meromorphic differential $\phi = \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\,\lambda^2}$ satisfies $\int_1^{\alpha_i} \phi \in \pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_j} \phi \in \pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z}$. While $h(\lambda) = \int_1^{\lambda} \phi$ is a multiple-valued function on Σ, the function $e^h : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ is again holomorphic. It is described in proposition 5.5 where τ is given by the residue of ϕ at 0 and ∞. Then $\zeta_\lambda(z + \tau) = \zeta_\lambda(z)$, and we can integrate the extended frame F_λ. There remains to prove that $F_1(\tau) = \pm\mathbb{1}$. We remark that a solution of the characteristic equation of a solution of $F_\lambda^{-1} dF_\lambda = \alpha$ defines a meromorphic differential $d\ln\mu$ which satisfies (1)–(3) of Proposition 5.7. By uniqueness of such differentials, $d\ln\mu = \phi$ and $\mu(1) = e^{h(1)} = \pm 1$ so that $F_1(z)$ is τ-periodic. ☐

Definition 5.10. *The spectral data of a minimal cylinder of finite type in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with sym point at $\lambda = 1$ is a pair $(a,\, b) \in \mathbb{C}^{2g}[\lambda] \times \mathbb{C}^{g+1}[\lambda]$ such that*

- (i) $\lambda^{2g}\overline{a(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = a(\lambda)$, $\lambda^{-g} a(\lambda) \le 0$ *for all* $\lambda \in S^1$ *and* $a(0) = -\frac{1}{16} e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta}$,
- (ii) $\lambda^{g+1}\overline{b(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = -b(\lambda)$,
- (iii) $b(0) = \frac{\tau e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta}}{32} \in e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta/2}\mathbb{R}$ *(for closing condition of the third coordinate).*
- (iv) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\alpha_i}^{1/\bar{\alpha}_i} \frac{b\,d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}\right) = 0$ *for all roots α_i of a where the integral is computed along the straight segment $[\alpha_i, 1/\bar{\alpha}_i]$.*
- (v) *The unique function $h : \tilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{C}$ where $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma - \cup\gamma_i$ and γ_i are closed cycles over the straight lines connecting α_i and $1/\bar{\alpha}_i$, such that*

$$\sigma^* h(\lambda) = -h(\lambda) \text{ and } dh = \frac{b d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}$$

  takes values on $i\pi\mathbb{Z}$ at all roots of $(\lambda - 1)\, a(\lambda)$.
- (vi) *When a has higher order roots then $e^h = f\,\nu + g$ for holomorphic $f,\, g : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}$.*

6. Bubbletons

The term 'bubbleton' is due to Sterling-Wente [28]. They are the solitons of the theory, and finite type solutions of the sinh-Gordon equations with bubbletons have singular spectral curves. For more details on the relationship between bubbletons, Bianchi-Bäcklund transformations, simple factors and CMC surfaces we refer to [17, 16, 18] and the references therein. By Proposition 4.3 we can discard roots of a potential ξ_λ without changing the surface, but it remains to discuss roots of $\det \xi_\lambda$ where ξ_λ is not semi-simple.

We now consider potentials ξ_λ for which the polynomial $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \xi_\lambda$ has higher order roots. Roots of ξ_λ come in symmetric pairs $\alpha_0,\, 1/\bar{\alpha}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Because the polynomial $\lambda \mapsto a(\lambda)$ is homogeneous of degree 2, such roots generate even roots of order at least 2 in the polynomial a. Then there exists a polynomial $\tilde{a}$ with

$$a(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha_0)^2 (1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda)^2 \tilde{a}(\lambda).$$

We study such ξ_λ and show that they can be factored into a product of *simple factors* (see below) and a potential $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in I(\tilde{a})$. If $\xi_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ but $\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha_0} \det \xi_\lambda \geq 2$, then the matrix ξ_{α_0} is nilpotent and defines a complex line

$$L = \ker \xi_{\alpha_0} = \operatorname{im} \xi_{\alpha_0} \in \mathbb{CP}^1.$$

Let $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be a unit vector which spans L, and complement v_1 to an orthonormal basis $(v_1,\, v_2)$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Set

$$p(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha_0)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda)$$

and let $Q_L \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ with $Q_L(e_1) = v_1$. The entries of ξ_λ in the basis $(v_1,\, v_2)$ are given by

$$Q_L^{-1} \xi(\lambda)\, Q_L := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) p(\lambda) & \tilde{\beta}(\lambda)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda)^2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)(\lambda - \alpha_0)^2 & -\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) p(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.1}$$

where $-\lambda \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda)^2 + \lambda \tilde{\beta}(\lambda) \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) = -\tilde{a}(\lambda)$. If $(u_1, u_2) \in \ker \xi(\alpha_0)$ then $(-\bar{u}_2,\, \bar{u}_1) \in \ker \xi(1/\bar{\alpha}_0)$.

Simple factors. Define for $\lambda \neq \alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}_0^{-1}$ the $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$-valued maps

$$\pi_{\alpha_0}(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda - \alpha_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda}{\lambda - \alpha_0}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_L := Q_L \pi_{\alpha_0} Q_L^{-1}.$$

At $\lambda = 0$, we apply the QR-decomposition to get

$$\pi_L(0) = Q_L \pi_{\alpha_0}(0) Q_L^{-1} = Q_{0,L} R_{0,L} = R_{1,L} Q_{1,L}$$

where $Q_{0,L}, Q_{1,L} \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ and $R_{0,L}, R_{1,L}$ are upper triangular matrix of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \rho & r \\ 0 & \rho^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ both depending in α_0 and L.

Definition 6.1. *Let $L \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $r < \min\{|\alpha_0|,\, 1/|\alpha_0|\}$. A* **left simple factor** *is a map*

$$g_{L,\alpha_0}(\lambda) = \pi_L^{-1}(\lambda) Q_{0,L}.$$

Denote $H^\ell_{\alpha_0} = \{g_{L,\alpha_0} \mid L \in \mathbb{CP}^1\} \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$, and note that $H^\ell_{\alpha_0} \subset \Lambda^+_r \mathrm{SL}_2$.

A **right simple factor** *is a map*

$$h_{L,\alpha_0}(\lambda) = Q_{1,L} \pi_L^{-1}(\lambda).$$

Denote $H^r_{\alpha_0} = \{h_{L,\alpha_0} \mid L \in \mathbb{CP}^1\} \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$, and note that $H^r_{\alpha_0} \subset \Lambda^+_r \mathrm{SL}_2$.

Lemma 6.2. *There is a one-to-one correspondance between $H^\ell_{\alpha_0}$ and $H^r_{\alpha_0}$ via*

$$g_{L,\alpha_0} = \pi_L^{-1} Q_{0,L} = Q_{0,L} \pi_{L'}^{-1} = h_{L',\alpha_0} \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$L' = Q_{0,L}^{-1} L \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_{1,L'} = Q_{0,L}.$$

Reciprocally, if L' is given then we can define a QR-decomposition $\pi_{L'} = R_{1,L'} Q_{1,L'}$ with

$$L = Q_{1,L'} L'$$

Proof. From any $A \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ and $L' = AL$, we note $Q_{AL} = AQ_L$. Hence $\pi_{L'} = Q_{AL}\pi_{\alpha_0}Q_{AL}^{-1} = A\pi_L A^{-1}$. Now we define $L' = Q_{0,L}^{-1}L$ and we have

$$g_{L,\alpha_0}^{-1} = Q_{0,L}^{-1}\pi_L = \pi_{L'}Q_{0,L}^{-1}\,.$$

With $\pi_L(0) = Q_{0,L}R_{0,L}$, we observe that

$$\pi_{L'}(0) = Q_{0,L}^{-1}\pi_L(0)Q_{0,L} = R_{0,L}Q_{0,L} = R_{1,L'}Q_{1,L'}$$

hence $Q_{1,L'} = Q_{0,L}$. Then

$$g_{L,\alpha_0}^{-1}(\lambda) = \pi_{L'}Q_{0,L}^{-1} = \pi_{L'}Q_{1,L'}^{-1} = h_{L',\alpha_0}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

If $L' = Q_{0,L}^{-1}L$, we have $L = Q_{0,L}L' = Q_{1,L'}L'$. □

Now we consider the matrix $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in I(\tilde{a})$ given by $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = p^{-1}(\lambda)\, g_{L,\alpha_0}^{-1}\, \xi_\lambda\, g_{L,\alpha_0}$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$ with $\xi_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha_0}\det\xi_\lambda \geq 2$. Then ξ_λ uniquely factorizes as*

$$\xi_\lambda = p(\lambda)\, g_{L,\alpha_0}\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, g_{L,\alpha_0}^{-1}$$

with $L = \ker\xi_{\alpha_0}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in I(\tilde{a})$.

Proof. The matrix ξ_{α_0} uniquely defines the line L. Consider $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = p^{-1}\, g_{L,\alpha_0}^{-1}\xi_\lambda g_{L,\alpha_0}$. We need to prove that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ has no poles at $\alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}_0^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in I(\tilde{a})$. First define

$$Q_L^{-1}\xi_\lambda Q_L := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda)p(\lambda) & \tilde{\beta}(\lambda)(1-\bar{\alpha}_0\lambda)^2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)(\lambda-\alpha_0)^2 & -\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda)p(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

The following matrix has no pole nor zeroes at $\lambda = \alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}_0^{-1}$:

$$Q_L^{-1}Q_{0,L}\tilde{\xi}_\lambda Q_{0,L}^{-1}Q_L = \frac{\pi_{\alpha_0}}{\sqrt{p}}Q_L^{-1}\xi_\lambda Q_L\frac{\pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}}{\sqrt{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) & \tilde{\beta}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) & -\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The residue of $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ at $\lambda = 0$ is $R_{0,L}\hat{\xi}_{-1}R_{0,L}^{-1}$. As $R_{0,L} \in \Lambda^+\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, we conclude that the residue takes values in $\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}^+\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Therefore $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{g-2}$. □

Using the relation (6.2) between left and right factors immediately yields

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\xi_\lambda \in I(a)$ with $\xi_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha_0}a(\lambda) \geq 2$. Then ξ_λ uniquely factorizes as*

$$\xi_\lambda = p(\lambda)\, h_{L',\alpha_0}\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, h_{L',\alpha_0}^{-1}$$

with $(L', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times I(\tilde{a})$ where $L' = Q_{0,L}^{-1}L$ and $L = \ker\xi_{\alpha_0}$.

The factorizations of Proposition 6.3 and Corollary 6.4 give rise to pairs in $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times I(\tilde{a})$, and we say that we *decompose* such ξ_λ into $(L, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$ or $(L', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$.

A special situation occurs when $L'^\perp$ is an eigenline of $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}$.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose ξ_λ decomposes into $(L', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}\, L'^\perp = L'^\perp$. Then ξ_λ has zeroes at $\lambda = \alpha_0, 1/\bar{\alpha}_0$. Furthermore the singularity of the spectral curve is removable and up to a conformal change of coordinate the potentials ξ_λ and $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ induce the same extended frame.*

Proof. We prove that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ker\xi_{\alpha_0} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ker p(\alpha_0)\pi_{L'}^{-1}(\alpha_0)\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}\pi_{L'}(\alpha_0) = 2$. If $L'^\perp =< v_2 >$ is an eigenline of $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}$ then $\pi_{L'}(\lambda)v_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_0\lambda}{\lambda-\alpha_0}}v_2$ and

$$\pi_{L'}^{-1}(\alpha_0)\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}\pi_{L'}(\alpha_0)v_2 = \mu v_2 \text{ and } \xi_{\alpha_0}v_2 = 0$$

If $L' =< v_1 >$, we have $\pi_{L'}(\lambda)v_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda-\alpha_0}{1-\bar{\alpha}_0\lambda}}v_1$ and $p(\alpha_0)\pi_{L'}^{-1}(\alpha_0)\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}\pi_{L'}(\alpha_0)v_1 = 0$. Then ξ_λ has a zero at $\lambda = \alpha_0$ and we can remove it without changing the extended frame by Proposition 4.3. □

Terng-Uhlenbeck formula. Let $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ with $\xi_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$, $\mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_0} a(\lambda) \geq 2$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \mathbb{S}^1$. Suppose ξ_λ decomposes into $(L',\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$, and let $0 < r < \min\{|\alpha_0|,\, 1/|\alpha_0|\}$. Now consider the unitary factor $F_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \Lambda_r \mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of the r-Iwasawa decomposition

$$\exp(z\, \xi_\lambda) = F_\lambda\, B_\lambda$$

and we define $\tilde{F}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \Lambda_r \mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to be the unitary factor of the r-Iwasawa decomposition

$$\exp(z\, p(\lambda)\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda) = \tilde{F}_\lambda\, \tilde{B}_\lambda\,.$$

Terng and Uhlenbeck [30] obtained a relationship between F_λ and $\tilde{F}_\lambda$ and found

$$F_\lambda(z) = h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L'(z),\alpha_0} \text{ with } L'(z) = {}^t\overline{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L'\,.$$

We provide a proof of this in the appendix. We next show that closing conditions are preserved when changing the first factor in the factorization $(L',\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$.

Proposition 6.6. *If there is* $(L_0',\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times I(\tilde{a})$*, such that* $\xi_{0,\lambda} = p(\lambda)\, h_{L_0',\alpha_0}\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, h^{-1}_{L_0',\alpha_0}$ *induces a minimal annulus with period* τ*, then for any* $L_1' \in \mathbb{CP}^1$*, the extended frame associate to* $\xi_{1,\lambda} = p(\lambda)\, h_{L_1',\alpha_0}\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, h^{-1}_{L_1',\alpha_0}$ *produces a* τ*-periodic minimal annulus.*

Proof. The annulus induced by $F_{0,\lambda}$ is periodic, then at $\lambda = 1$, $F_{0,1}(z+\tau) = F_{0,1}(z)$. In particular $F_{0,1}(\tau) = \mathbb{1}$ and the solution of sinh-Gordon equation is periodic $\omega_0(z+\tau) = \omega_0(z)$. This period condition on ω_0 implies $\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z+\tau) = \zeta_{0,\lambda}(z)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, since entries of $\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z)$ depend only on $\omega_0(z)$ and its derivatives. Now we remark that the associate polynomial Killing field $\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z) \in I(a)$ decomposes uniquely as $(L_0'(z), \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(z)) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times I(\tilde{a})$ where $L_0'(z) = Q^{-1}_{0,L_0(z)} L_0(z)$ and $L_0(z) = \ker \zeta_{0,\alpha_0}(z)$. Now $\zeta_{0,\alpha_0}(z)$ periodic implies that $L_0(z)$ and $L_0'(z)$ are periodic. The decomposition of $\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z)$ is given by

$$\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z) = p(\lambda) g_{L_0(z),\alpha_0} \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(z) g^{-1}_{L_0(z),\alpha_0} = p(\lambda) h_{L_0'(z),\alpha_0} \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L_0'(z),\alpha_0}$$

and $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(z+\tau) = \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(z)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We can recover explicitly this relation by using the decomposition with $L' = Q^{-1}_{0,L} L$ and the formula

$$F_\lambda(z) = h_{L_0',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L_0'(z),\alpha_0}$$

where $L_0'(z) = {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L_0'$. Then

$$\zeta_{0,\lambda}(z) = F_\lambda(z)^{-1} \xi_{0,\lambda} F_\lambda(z) = h^{-1}_{L_0'(z),\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda^{-1}(z) h_{L_0',\alpha_0} \xi_{0,\lambda} h^{-1}_{L_0',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) h_{L_0'(z),\alpha_0}\,.$$

Since $L_0(z+\tau) = L_0(z)$, we have $L_0'(z+\tau) = L_0'(z)$. The periodicity of $F_1(\tau) = \mathbb{1}$ imply that $\tilde{F}_1(\tau) = \mathbb{1}$, and then there is an annulus without a bubbleton associate to the polynomial Killing field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$. Now we consider $\xi_{1,\lambda}$ associate to $(L_1', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$ and we prove that its extended frame has the same period τ. To see that, there remains only we to prove that $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) = \mathbb{1}$ to conclude the periodicity of $L_1'(\tau+z) = {}^t\overline{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) L_1'(z)$.

If $L_0'(\tau) = L_0'$, then $L_0' = L_0'(\tau) = {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) L_0'$ and L_0' is an eigenvalue of ${}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) = \tilde{F}^{-1}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0}(\tau)$, hence $(L_0')^\perp$ is an eigenline of $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(\tau)$.

Recall that $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\tau) = \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(0)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ implies that $[\tilde{\xi}_{0,\alpha_0}, \tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(\tau)] = 0$. This implies that $(L_0')^\perp$ is not an eigenline of $\tilde{\xi}_{0,\alpha_0}$, if not the polynomial Killing field ξ_{0,α_0} would have a zero and we could remove the singularity of the spectral curve.

Recall that if $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $B \in \mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then $[A,B] = 0$ implies $A = xB + y\mathbb{1}$. This implies $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) = x\tilde{\xi}_{0,\alpha_0} + y\mathbb{1}$. Now $\tilde{\xi}_{0,\alpha_0} L_0^\perp \neq L_0^\perp$ implies $x = 0$ and thus $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(\tau) = \pm\mathbb{1}$. $\square$

Group action on bubbletons. We prove that there is a group action which acts transitively on the first factor L' of the decomposition ξ_λ into $(L', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$. For $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$m(\beta,\, \xi_\lambda) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda - \alpha_0} + \frac{\bar{\beta}\lambda}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda} \right) \lambda^{\frac{1-g}{2}} \xi_\lambda & \text{when } g = 2k+1 \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda - \alpha_0} + \frac{\bar{\beta}\lambda}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda} \right) (\lambda^{\frac{-g}{2}} + \lambda^{1-\frac{g}{2}}) \xi_\lambda & \text{when } g = 2k \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\exp(m(\beta,\, \xi_\lambda)) = F_\lambda(\beta)\, B_\lambda(\beta)$ be the r-Iwasawa factorization for $r < \min\{|\alpha_0|,\, 1/|\alpha_0|\}$. We have a complex 1-dimensional group action $\tilde{\pi} : \mathbb{C} \times I(a) \to I(a)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}(\beta)\, \xi_\lambda = F_\lambda(\beta)^{-1}\, \xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda(\beta)\,. \tag{6.3}$$

Clearly then $\xi_\lambda(\beta) = \tilde{\pi}(\beta)\, \xi_\lambda := F_\lambda^{-1}(\beta)\, \xi_\lambda\, F_\lambda(\beta) = B_\lambda(\beta)\, \xi_\lambda\, B_\lambda^{-1}(\beta)$, and the action (6.3) is isospectral. The potential $\xi_\lambda(\beta)$ has a decomposition $(L'(\beta), \tilde{\xi}_\lambda(\beta))$, and we prove next that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda(\beta) = \tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is invariant under this action.

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose a potential* ξ_λ *decomposes into* $(L', \tilde{\xi}_\lambda) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times I(\tilde{a})$*. Then the action* (6.3) *on* ξ_λ *preserves the second term* $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$*. If* $\det \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$*, then* $\mathbb{C}$ *acts on* $L' \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \backslash \{L'_1, L'_2\}$ *transitively where* $(L'_1)^\perp, (L'_2)^\perp$ *are eigenlines of* $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}$ *and fixed points of the action. If* $\det \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0} = 0$*, then* $\mathbb{C}$ *acts on* $L' \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \backslash \{L'_3\}$ *transitively where* $(L'_3)^\perp$ *is the eigenline of* ξ_{α_0}*.*

Proof. We consider for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ the map

$$\psi(\lambda, \beta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda - \alpha} + \frac{\bar{\beta}\lambda}{1 - \bar{\alpha}\lambda} \right) \lambda^{\frac{1-g}{2}} p(\lambda)\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda & \text{when } g = 2k+1 \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda - \alpha} + \frac{\bar{\beta}\lambda}{1 - \bar{\alpha}\lambda} \right) (\lambda^{\frac{-g}{2}} + \lambda^{1-\frac{g}{2}}) p(\lambda)\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda & \text{when } g = 2k \end{array} \right.$$

We have to construct ψ in such a way that ${}^t\overline{\psi(1/\bar{\lambda}, \beta)} = -\psi(\lambda, \beta)$ to ensure $\psi \in \Lambda_r \mathfrak{su}_2$. We have by the r-Iwasawa decomposition $\exp(\psi(\lambda, \beta)) = \tilde{F}_\lambda(\beta)$. Further, since $\tilde{B}_\lambda(\beta) = \mathbb{1}$ we have

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda^{-1}(\beta)\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, \tilde{F}_\lambda(\beta) = \tilde{B}_\lambda(\beta)\, \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\, \tilde{B}_\lambda^{-1}(\beta) = \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\,.$$

Suppose $\xi_\lambda(\beta)$ has a decomposition $(L'(\beta), \tilde{\xi}_\lambda(\beta))$. We prove next that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda(\beta) = \tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is invariant by the group action.

We have $F_\lambda(\beta) B_\lambda(\beta) = h_{L', \alpha_0} \exp(\tilde{\psi}(\lambda, \beta)) h^{-1}_{L', \alpha_0} = h_{L', \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(\beta) h^{-1}_{L', \alpha_0}$, and by the Terng-Uhlenbeck formula

$$F_\lambda(\beta) = h_{L', \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(\beta) h^{-1}_{L'(\beta), \alpha_0} \text{ where } L'(\beta) = {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\beta) L'\,.$$

Applying the action and the invariance of the conjugation of $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ by $\tilde{F}_\lambda(\beta)$ we have

$$\xi_\lambda(\beta) = \tilde{\pi}(\beta)\xi_\lambda = p(\lambda) h_{L'(\beta), \alpha_0} \tilde{\xi}_\lambda h^{-1}_{L'(\beta), \alpha_0}\,.$$

This proves that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is invariant while $L'(\beta)$ changes under the group action. We consider now the map $\beta \longrightarrow L'(\beta)$, and prove that this map spans $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (if $L'(0) \neq L'_0$).

First we assume that $\det \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ and $\det \tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0} \neq 0$. We denote by $v_1,\, v_2$ the eigenvectors of $\tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0}$, and by $\nu_1,\, \nu_2$ the associate eigenvalues. Since trace $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = 0$, we have $\nu_2 = -\nu_1$. Now we have

$${}^t\overline{\psi(\alpha_0, \beta)} = -\psi(1/\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta) := \beta\gamma_0 \tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0}$$

$${}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\beta) = \exp(-\psi(1/\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta))$$

and with $L' = < xv_1 + yv_2 >$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\beta)(xv_1 + yv_2) &= \exp(-\psi(1/\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta))(xv_1 + yv_2) \\ &= \exp(-\beta\gamma_0\nu_1)\, x\, v_1 + \exp(-\beta\gamma_0\nu_2) y v_2 = w\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$${}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(\beta) L' = < w >$$

and the map $L' : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ given by $L'(\beta) =< w >$ is surjective since

$$\beta : \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow < \exp(-\beta\gamma_0\nu_1)xv_1 + \exp(\beta\gamma_0\nu_1)yv_2 > \in \mathbb{CP}^1$$

is surjective.

Now we assume that $\det \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0} = \det \tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0} = 0$. There exist a basis (v_1, v_2), with

$$\tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0} v_1 = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{\xi}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0} v_2 = v_1$$

$$\exp(-\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_0, \beta))(xv_1 + yv_2) = -(x + \beta\gamma_0 y)v_1 - yv_2$$

For $y \neq 0$ the map

$$\beta : \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow < (x + \beta\gamma_0 y)v_1 + yv_2 > \in \mathbb{CP}^1$$

is surjective. When $y = 0$ then $L' = v_1$ is an eigenvector of $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_0}$ and there is no bubbleton. □

7. SPECTRAL CURVES OF THE RIEMANN FAMILY

The Riemann family consists of embedded minimal annuli in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ that are foliated by horizontal constant curvature curves of $\mathbb{S}^2$. From [12] these annuli can be conformally parameterized by its third coordinate with $Q = \frac{1}{4}(dz)^2$ and the metric $ds^2 = \cosh^2 \omega |dz|^2$ is related to a real-analytic solutions of Abresch system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta\omega + \sinh\omega\cosh\omega & = 0\,, \\ \omega_{xy} - \omega_x\,\omega_y \tanh\omega & = 0\,. \end{array} \right. \tag{7.1}$$

The second equation is the condition that the curve $x \to (G(x, y), y)$ has constant curvature. This condition induces a separation of variables of the sinh-Gordon equation, and solutions can be described by two elliptic functions

$$f(x) = \frac{-\omega_x}{\cosh\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \frac{-\omega_y}{\cosh\omega} \tag{7.2}$$

of real variables x and y respectively, and for $c < 0$, $d < 0$ solve the system

$$\begin{aligned} -(f_x)^2 &= f^4 + (1 + c - d)f^2 + c\,, & -f_{xx} &= 2f^3 + (1 + c - d)f\,, \\ -(g_y)^2 &= g^4 + (1 + d - c)g^2 + d\,, & -g_{yy} &= 2g^3 + (1 + d - c)g\,. \end{aligned}$$

We can then recover the function ω by

$$\sinh\omega = (1 + f^2 + g^2)^{-1}(f_x + g_y)\,. \tag{7.3}$$

The spectral curves of members of the Riemann family have spectral genus 0, 1 or 2. The spectral genus zero case consists of flat annuli $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\gamma \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ is a great circle. In this case the solution of the sinh-Gordon equation is the trivial solution $\omega \equiv 0$. The spectral genus 1 consists of solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation that only depend on one real variable. The corresponding minimal annuli are analogous to associate family members of Delaunay surfaces. In particular the spectral genus 1 case contains the rotational annuli and helicoids, and these are foliated by circles. Amongst the spectral genus 2 surfaces, the corresponding minimal annuli are again foliated by circles, but no longer have rotational symmetry. This condition endows the spectral curve with an additional symmetry.

Spectral genus 0. We first study annuli with spectral curves of genus 0. Inserting the trivial solution of the sinh-Gordon equation $\omega_0 \equiv 0$ into (1.3), and setting $\gamma = 1$, gives

$$\alpha(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{i}\lambda^{-1} \\ \mathbb{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz + \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{i} \\ \mathbb{i}\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\bar{z} \tag{7.4}$$

The solution of $F_\lambda^{-1}dF_\lambda = \alpha(\omega_0)$, $F_\lambda(0) = \mathbb{1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda &= \exp\left(\frac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1}z + \bar{z} \\ z + \lambda\,\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\big(\frac{1}{4}(z\lambda^{-1/2} + \bar{z}\lambda^{1/2})\big) & \mathbb{i}\lambda^{-1/2}\sin\big(\frac{1}{4}(z\lambda^{-1/2} + \bar{z}\lambda^{1/2})\big) \\ \mathbb{i}\lambda^{1/2}\sin\big(\frac{1}{4}(z\lambda^{-1/2} + \bar{z}\lambda^{1/2})\big) & \cos\big(\frac{1}{4}(z\lambda^{-1/2} + \bar{z}\lambda^{1/2})\big) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

The horizontal part of $(F_\lambda\,\sigma_3\,F_\lambda^{-1},\ \mathrm{Re}(-\mathbb{i}\lambda^{-1/2}z))$ computes to

$$F_\lambda\,\sigma_3\,F_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{i}\cos\big(\mathrm{Re}\,(z\,\lambda^{-1/2})\big) & \lambda^{-1/2}\sin\big(\mathrm{Re}\,(z\,\lambda^{-1/2})\big) \\ -\lambda^{1/2}\sin\big(\mathrm{Re}\,(z\,\lambda^{-1/2})\big) & -\mathbb{i}\cos\big(\mathrm{Re}\,(z\,\lambda^{-1/2})\big) \end{pmatrix}$$

Identifying $\mathfrak{su}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{i}w & u+\mathbb{i}v \\ -u+\mathbb{i}v & -\mathbb{i}w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cong (u,\,v,\,w)$, evaluating the associated family at $\lambda = 1$, and writing $z = x + \mathbb{i}y$, we obtain the conformal minimal immersion $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ given by

$$X_1(x,\,y) = \big(\sin x,\, 0,\, \cos x,\, y\big)\,.$$

Restricting X_1 to the strip $(x,\,y) \in [0,\,2\pi] \times \mathbb{R}$ then gives an embedded minimal flat annulus in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Evaluating the associated family at some other point $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, then τ-periodicity requires that $\mathrm{Re}\,(\tau\lambda_0^{-1/2}) \in 2\,\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$.

We next compute the corresponding spectral data $(a,\,b)$. Since

$$F_\lambda = \exp(z\,\xi_\lambda - \bar{z}\,\overline{\xi_{1/\bar{\lambda}}}^{\,t}) \qquad \text{for} \qquad \xi_\lambda = \tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

coincides with the extended flat frame (7.5) computed above, we conclude that ξ_λ is a potential for the flat surface. Hence $a(\lambda) = -\lambda\det\xi_\lambda = -1/16$, and the spectral curve (2.11) is the 2-point compactification of $\{(\nu,\,\lambda) \mid \nu^2 = -\lambda^{-1}/16\}$. The flat annulus has the simplest possible spectral curve. It is a genus zero hyperelliptic curve, so a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with two branch points.

The eigenvalues of F_λ in (7.5) are $\exp(\pm\frac{\mathbb{i}}{4}(z\lambda^{-1/2} + \bar{z}\lambda^{1/2}))$. Therefore the logarithmic eigenvalue (up to sign) of the monodromy with respect to the translation $z \mapsto z + 2\pi$ is

$$\ln\mu(\lambda) = \frac{\pi\mathbb{i}}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}\right)\,.$$

Then

$$d\ln\mu = \frac{\pi\mathbb{i}(\lambda - 1)}{4\lambda^{3/2}}\,d\lambda = \frac{\pi\,(1-\lambda)}{16\lambda^2\nu}\,d\lambda$$

since $\lambda^{3/2} = -4\mathbb{i}\lambda^2\nu$. Thus $b(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{16}(1-\lambda)$.

Spectral genus 1. We apply the Pinkall-Sterling iteration to the case where ω satisfies $\alpha\omega_z + \beta\omega_{\bar{z}} = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. The relation implies that $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and up to a change of coordinate we assume without loss of generality that $\omega_x = 0$. Then $\omega_z = -\omega_{\bar{z}}$, and we are exactly in the setting of Abresch's system [1] and there is a constant $d < 0$ with $-b_y^2 = (b^2+1)(b^2+d)$ where

$$b(y) = \frac{-\omega_y}{\cosh\omega} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sinh\omega = \frac{b_y}{1+b^2}\,. \tag{7.6}$$

We now use the Pinkall-Sterling iteration to compute the polynomial Killing field. Starting with $u_{-1} = \sigma_{-1} = 0$ and $\tau_{-1} = \mathbb{i}/4$, and using $4\omega_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{2}\sinh(2\omega)$, gives

$$u_0 = -4\mathbb{i}\omega_z\tau_{-1} = \omega_z\,, \qquad \sigma_0 = \gamma\,e^{2\omega}\tau_{-1} + 4\mathbb{i}\gamma\,u_{0;\bar{z}} = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathbb{i}\gamma\,e^{-2\omega}\,.$$

We use the function ϕ_0 to compute $\tau_0 = 2\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}(\frac{1}{2}\phi_0 - u_{0;z})$. We have $u_0 = -\omega_{\bar{z}}$, and then

$$\phi_{0;z} = -4\omega_z\omega_{z\bar{z}} = \tfrac{1}{4}\,(\cosh(2\omega))_z\,, \qquad \phi_{0;\bar{z}} = -\omega_{\bar{z}}\sinh\omega\cosh\omega = \tfrac{1}{4}(\cosh(2\omega))_{\bar{z}}\,.$$

Then $\tau_0 = 2\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}\,(\omega_{z\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{8}\cosh(2\omega)) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\bar{\gamma}\,e^{-2\omega}$.

At the next step we find $u_1 = -2\mathbb{i}\tau_{o;z} - 4\mathbb{i}\omega_z\tau_0 = 0$, $\sigma_1 = \gamma\, e^{2\omega}\tau_0 = \frac{\mathbb{i}}{4}$ and $\tau_1 = 0$. This gives the polynomial Killing field (2.2) as

$$\zeta_\lambda(z) = \frac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} -2\,\omega_y & e^{\omega}\lambda^{-1} + \bar{\gamma}\, e^{-\omega} \\ \gamma\, e^{-\omega} + e^{\omega}\lambda & 2\,\omega_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $a(\lambda) = -\lambda \det \zeta_\lambda = -\frac{1}{16}\left(\gamma + (2\cosh(2\omega) + 4\omega_y^2)\,\lambda + \bar{\gamma}\lambda^2\right)$. Using (7.6) gives

$$2\omega_y^2 + \cosh 2\omega = 2b^2\cosh^2\omega + 1 + 2\sinh^2\omega = 1 - 2d\,.$$

so that $a(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{16}\left(\gamma + 2(1-2d)\,\lambda + \bar{\gamma}\lambda^2\right)$. Its roots are $(2d - 1 \pm 2\sqrt{d^2-d})\,\gamma$. If $\gamma = \pm 1$, then this polynomial satisfies the additional symmetry

$$\lambda^{2g} a(1/\lambda) = a(\lambda)\,, \tag{7.7}$$

for $g = 1$, and then has two real roots. The corresponding spectral curve is a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ branched at 4 points, so a hyperelliptic curve of genus 1. To close the surface, we have to close the third coordinate with $Q = \frac{1}{4}\gamma\lambda_0^{-1}(dz)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(dz)^2$. Riemann annuli of spectral genus 1 satisfy $\omega_x = 0$ or $\omega_y = 0$. This means that $\lambda_0 = \pm\gamma$. We can parametrize the annulus in such a way $\lambda_0 = +1$, and apply the iteration with $\gamma = +1$. This corresponds to the case where $y \to \omega(y)$ depends only on its third coordinate and describes rotational examples. In the other case, where $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\gamma = -1$, this corresponds to the helicoidal surfaces, where the surface is foliated by horizontal geodesics. In this case the function $x \to \omega(x)$ depends only on the variable x.

Symmetric spectral genus 2. We next consider general real-analytic solutions of the Abresch system. In this case we first prove that they correspond to spectral genus 2.

Lemma 7.1. *Every solution $\omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ of the Abresch system* (7.1) *satisfies the relation*

$$\omega_{zzz} - 2\omega_z^3 = -\tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_{\bar{z}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(c-d)\,\omega_z\,. \tag{7.8}$$

Proof. Differentiating $\omega_z = -\frac{1}{2}\,(f - \mathbb{i}g)\,\cosh\omega$ gives

$$\omega_{zz} = \tfrac{1}{4}(f-\mathbb{i}g)^2\sinh\omega\cosh\omega - \tfrac{1}{2}(f-\mathbb{i}g)_z\cosh\omega\,.$$

Now using the equation of the system, we have

$$2(f-\mathbb{i}g)_z = f_x - g_y = \tfrac{(1+f^2+g^2)(g^2-f^2+(d-c))}{f_x+g_y} = \tfrac{(g^2-f^2+(d-c))}{\sinh\omega}\,.$$

Then $\omega_{zz} = \tanh\omega\;\omega_z^2 - \frac{1}{4}\coth\omega\left(g^2 - f^2 + (d-c)\right)$ and thus

$$\tanh\omega\;\omega_{zz} - \tanh^2\omega\,\omega_z^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}(g^2-f^2) = \tfrac{1}{4}(c-d) \in \mathbb{R}$$

since the imaginary part is $\tanh\omega(\omega_{xy} - \tanh\omega\,\omega_x\omega_y) = 0$. Using the expression for $f_x + g_y$ and $g_y - f_x$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2f_x &= (1+f^2+g^2)\sinh\omega - (f^2-g^2+(c-d))\sinh^{-1}\omega\,,\\ 2g_y &= (1+f^2+g^2)\sinh\omega + (f^2-g^2+(c-d))\sinh^{-1}\omega\,. \end{aligned}$$

To understand higher order derivative we write

$$\begin{aligned} -2(g^2-f^2+(d-c))_z &= (2ff_x + 2\mathbb{i}gg_y)\\ &= (f+\mathbb{i}g)(1+f^2+g^2)\sinh\omega - (f-\mathbb{i}g)(f^2-g^2+(c-d))\sinh^{-1}\omega\,. \end{aligned}$$

We can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{8}\,(f+\mathbb{i}g)\cosh\omega = -\tfrac{1}{4}\omega_{\bar{z}}\,,\\ &\tfrac{1}{8}\,(f+\mathbb{i}g)(f^2+g^2)\cosh\omega = \tfrac{\cosh\omega}{8}(f-\mathbb{i}g)^3 + \tfrac{\cosh\omega}{2}\mathbb{i}fg(f-\mathbb{i}g) = -\tfrac{\omega_z^3}{\cosh^2\omega} - \mathbb{i}fg\omega_z\,. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{zzz} - 2\omega_z^3 &= -2\omega_z^3 + \omega_z^3 \mathrm{sech}^2\,\omega + 2\tanh(\omega)\,\omega_z\omega_{zz} + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_z \mathrm{csch}^2\,\omega\,\big(g^2 - f^2 + (d-c)\big) \\
&\qquad - \tfrac{1}{4}\coth\omega\,\big(g^2 - f^2 + (d-c)\big)_z \\
&= -2\omega_z^3 + \omega_z^3 \mathrm{sech}^2\,\omega + 2\omega_z\,\big(\tanh^2(\omega)\,\omega_z^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}(f^2 - g^2 + (c-d))\big) \\
&\qquad + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_z(g^2 - f^2 + (d-c))\,\mathrm{csch}^2\,\omega - \tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_{\bar z} - \omega_z^3 \mathrm{sech}^2\,\omega \\
&\qquad - \mathbb{i} f g \omega_z - \tfrac{1}{8}(f - \mathbb{i} g)(f^2 - g^2 + (c-d))\coth(\omega)\,\mathrm{csch}\,(\omega) \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_{\bar z} + \omega_z\,\big(2\tanh^2(\omega)\,\omega_z^2 - 2\omega_z^2\big) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(c-d)\,\omega_z + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(f - \mathbb{i} g)^2 \omega_z \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_{\bar z} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(c-d)\,\omega_z\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we use the iteration of Pinkall-Sterling to compute the spectral curve associated to the algebraic relation (7.8). It is a priori a one-parameter family of algebraic relations but ω itself encodes other invariant quantities than the one found in the expression of ω_{zz}. We seek the solution Φ_λ of the Pinkall-Sterling iteration and find

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{-1} = 0\,,\ u_0 = \omega_z\,,\ u_1 = \bar\gamma\omega_{\bar z}\,,\ u_2 = 0, \\
&\sigma_{-1} = 0\,,\ \sigma_0 = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{i}\gamma e^{-2\omega}\,,\ \sigma_1 = 2\mathbb{i} e^{-2\omega}\big(\omega_{zz} + \omega_z^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}(c-d)\big)\,,\ \sigma_2 = -\tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathbb{i}\bar\gamma, \\
&\tau_{-1} = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathbb{i}\,,\ \tau_0 = 2\mathbb{i}\bar\gamma\big(\omega_z^2 - \omega_{zz} + \tfrac{1}{4}(c-d)\big)\,,\ \tau_1 = -\tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathbb{i}\gamma^{-2}e^{-2\omega}\,,\ \tau_2 = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This defines a solution of the Lax equation Φ by (2.2) of degree $N = 2$. To obtain a polynomial Killing field we skew-symmetrize and define

$$\zeta_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_\lambda(z) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\,\overline{\Phi_{1/\bar\lambda}(z)}^{\,t}\,.$$

Then ζ_λ satisfies $\lambda\,\overline{\zeta_{1/\bar\lambda}}^{\,t} = -\zeta_\lambda$ and has λ^{-1}-coefficient $\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{i} e^\omega(1-\gamma)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. For ζ_λ to be $\mathcal{P}_2$-valued we require that $\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{i} e^\omega(1-\gamma) \in \mathbb{i}\mathbb{R}^+$, which means that $\gamma = -1$. In this case, $Q = \frac{1}{4}\gamma\lambda^{-1}(dz)^2$ implies that the Sym point is at $\lambda = -1$ and the entries of the polynomial Killing field are

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= (\omega_z - \lambda\omega_{\bar z})\,, \\
\beta &= \tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\lambda^{-1}e^\omega + \mathbb{i}\big(e^{-\omega}(\omega_{\bar z}^2 + \omega_{\bar z\bar z}) - e^\omega(\omega_z^2 + \omega_{zz}) + \tfrac{1}{4}(c-d)(e^{-\omega} - e^\omega)\big) - \tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\lambda\,e^{-\omega}\,, \\
\gamma &= -\tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}e^{-\omega} + \mathbb{i}\lambda\big(e^{-\omega}(\omega_z^2 + \omega_{zz}) - e^\omega(\omega_{\bar z}^2 + \omega_{\bar z\bar z}) + \tfrac{1}{4}(c-d)(e^{-\omega} - e^\omega)\big) + \tfrac{\mathbb{i}}{4}\lambda^2 e^\omega\,.
\end{aligned}$$

To compute the spectral curve, we have only to compute $a(\lambda) = \lambda(\alpha^2 + \beta\,\gamma)$ at one point. We choose a point where $\omega(x_0,\, y_0) = \partial_x f(x_0) = \partial_y g(y_0) = 0$. At this point we know that $\omega_{zz} = \omega_{\bar z\bar z} = 0$. At this point we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_0) = f_0 = -\partial_x\omega(x_0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(-1 + d - c + \sqrt{\Delta}\big)\,, \\
g(y_0) = g_0 = -\partial_y\omega(y_0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(-1 + c - d + \sqrt{\Delta}\big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = (1 + c - d)^2 - 4c = (1 + d - c)^2 - 4d$.

Writing $a(\lambda) = \lambda\,(\alpha^2 + \beta\gamma) = a_0 + a_1\lambda + a_2\lambda^2 + a_3\lambda^3 + a_4\lambda^4$, and using $\omega_z(x_0,\, y_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(f_0 - \mathbb{i} g_0)$ and $\omega_{\bar z}(x_0,\, y_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(f_0 + \mathbb{i} g_0)$, a computation gives the real coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &= a_4 = \tfrac{1}{16}, \\
a_1 &= a_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\,(\omega_z^2 + \omega_{\bar z}^2) = \tfrac{1}{4}(f_0^2 - g_0^2), \\
a_2 &= \ (\omega_z^4 + \omega_{\bar z}^4) - 2\,(\omega_z\omega_{\bar z} + \omega_z^2\omega_{\bar z}^2) - \tfrac{1}{8} = -\tfrac{1}{8} - \tfrac{1}{2}g_0^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}f_0^2 - f_0^2 g_0^2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The four real roots of $a(\lambda)$ are $-1 - 2f_0^2 \pm 2\sqrt{f_0^2 + f_0^4}$, $1 + 2g_0^2 \pm 2\sqrt{g_0^2 + g_0^4}$, and $a(\lambda)$ satisfies the additional symmetry (7.7) for $g = 2$. In summary the spectral data of the two parameter family of Riemann family are given by

Proposition 7.2. *The genus 0 spectral data of an embedded annulus with Sym point $\lambda = 1$ is given by*

1) $a(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{16}$ *and* $b(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{16}(1 - \lambda)$

The genus 1 spectral data of an embedded annulus with Sym point $\lambda = 1$ is given by

2) $a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{16\alpha}(\lambda - \alpha)(\alpha\lambda - 1)$ *for* $\alpha \in (0,\, 1)$ *and* $b(\lambda) = \frac{b(0)}{\gamma}(\lambda - \gamma)(\gamma\lambda - 1)$*, with* $\gamma \in (\alpha,\, 1)$ *and* $b(0) \in \mathbb{iR}$ *both determined by* α*.*
3) $a(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{16\beta}(\lambda + \beta)(\beta\lambda + 1)$ *for* $\beta \in (0,\, 1)$ *and* $b(\lambda) = \frac{b(0)}{\gamma}(1 - \lambda)(1 + \lambda)$ *and* $b(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ *determined by* β*.*

The genus 2 spectral data of an embedded annulus with Sym point $\lambda = 1$ is given by

4) $a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{16\beta\alpha}(\lambda - \alpha)(\alpha\lambda - 1)(\lambda + \beta)(\beta\lambda + 1)$ *for* $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ *and* $b(\lambda) = \frac{b(0)}{\gamma}(1 + \lambda)(\lambda - \gamma)(\gamma\lambda - 1)$ *for* $\gamma \in (\alpha,\, 1)$ *and* $b(0) \in \mathbb{iR}$ *both determined by* α *and* β*.*

In conclusion, the polynomial a satisfies the additional symmetry $\lambda^{2g} a(1/\lambda) = a(\lambda)$ and

a) $\lambda^{g+1} b(1/\lambda) = b(\lambda)$ *if a has a root* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $b(0) \in \mathbb{iR}$*;*
b) $\lambda^{g+1} b(1/\lambda) = -b(\lambda)$ *if a has only roots in* $\mathbb{R}^-$ *and* $b(0) \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. We have seen above that spectral curves of the Riemann family have an additional involution $(\lambda,\, \nu) \to (\lambda^{-1},\, \lambda^{1-g}\nu)$, since in all cases $\lambda^{2g} a(1/\lambda) = a(\lambda)$. Now depending on $a(\lambda)$, we construct a function h which satisfies the closing condition of the annulus. We prove that there are constants γ and $b(0)$ such that b satisfies the closing condition of Proposition 5.7.

First we remark that $\lambda^{g+1}\overline{b(1/\bar{\lambda})} = -b(\lambda)$ by construction. We look for h satisfying $\sigma^* h = -h$ and $dh = \frac{b\, d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}$. First we need to prove that h is well defined on $\hat{\Sigma}$ (Definition 5.8).

In cases 1) and 3), there is a root $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Along the segment $(\alpha,\, 1/\alpha)$, the polynomial $b(\lambda) \in \mathbb{iR}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{iR}$. Since $b(\lambda)$ has exactly one root in the interval $(\alpha,\, 1)$ at $\gamma \in (\alpha,\, 1)$, there exists exactly one value of γ which cancels the following integral for a given α and β. Using the additional symmetry, there is a real $\gamma \in (\alpha,\, 1)$ with

$$\int_{\alpha}^{1/\alpha} \frac{b}{\nu\lambda^2}\, d\lambda = 2\int_{\alpha}^{1} \frac{b}{\nu\lambda^2}\, d\lambda = 0\,.$$

Moreover, in cases 2) and 3), we have by the reality condition that

$$\int_{-\beta}^{-1/\beta} \frac{b}{\nu\lambda^2}\, d\lambda = 0\,.$$

Now the function h with $dh = \frac{b\, d\lambda}{\nu\lambda^2}$ and $\sigma^* h = -h$ is well defined on $\hat{\Sigma}$, the curve with the two cycles around $(-1/\beta,\, -\beta)$ and $(\alpha,\, 1/\alpha)$ removed.

For the involution $\kappa : (\lambda,\, \nu) \to (\bar{\lambda},\, \bar{\nu})$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ have $\kappa^* h = -\bar{h}$, since $b(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{b(\lambda)}$.

On the real axis between $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \alpha$ (or $\lambda = 1$ in the case 2)), the polynomial a takes real positive values. Then the segment $(0,\, \alpha]$ ($(0,\, 1]$) is a set of fix points for the involution κ. On this segment we deduce that $h = \kappa^* h$ and the function h is purely imaginary on this segment. Since the integral $\int_\alpha^1 d\ln\mu = 0$, the function h is imaginary at $\lambda = 1$ and $h(\alpha) = h(1) \in \mathbb{iR}$.

The involution ρ in (2.12) leaves $\mathbb{S}^1$ invariant, and we have $\rho^* dh = -\overline{dh}$. Hence $dh \in \mathbb{iR}$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Thus on the unit circle h stays imaginary, so in particular $h \in \mathbb{iR}$ at $\lambda = -1$.

The segment $(-1,\, -\beta)$ is a set of fixed points for κ and the function $h \in \mathbb{iR}$ on this segment. Since on the real line the function $a(\lambda)$ changes sign and become real negative on $(-\beta,\, 0)$, the function $h \in \mathbb{R}$ on this segment. We can then deduce that $h(-\beta) = 0$ at this point. Now we can choose the value of $b(0)$ to get a multiple value of $\pi\mathbb{i}$ at the sym point $\lambda = 1$. This proves the closing condition and concludes the proof of the proposition. ☐

Lemma 7.3. *If γ is a root of b, then the corresponding function $|\mu(\gamma)| \neq 1$.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in [\alpha, \bar{\alpha}^{-1}]$, the function $h = \ln \mu$ is real and $\int_\alpha^1 dh = 0$. Then γ is a root of dh and is contained in $(\alpha, 1)$. Since $\operatorname{Re} h(\alpha) = \operatorname{Re} h(1) = 0$, the value γ is the local critical point of h. Then $\operatorname{Re} h(\gamma) \neq 0$, and consequently $|\mu| \neq 1$. □

Appendix A. Terng-Uhlenbeck Formula

Proposition A.1. *Let $h_{L',\alpha_0} \in H^r_{\alpha_0}$ the simple factor with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}^* \backslash \mathbb{S}^1$, with $r < \min\{|\alpha_0|, 1/|\alpha_0|\}$ and $L' \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. Then*

$$F_\lambda(z) = h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L'(z),\alpha_0} \text{ with } L'(z) = {}^t\overline{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L' \,.$$

Proof. By r-Iwasawa decomposition

$$F_\lambda(z)\, B_\lambda(z) = \exp(z\xi_\lambda) = \exp(zp(\lambda) h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{\xi}_\lambda h^{-1}_{L',\alpha_0}) = h_{L',\alpha_0} \exp(zp(\lambda)\tilde{\xi}_\lambda) h^{-1}_{L',\alpha_0} \in \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and $h_{L',\alpha_0} \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ($h_{L',\alpha_0}(0) = Q_{1,L'} Q_{L'} \pi^{-1}_{\alpha_0}(0) Q^{-1}_{L'} = R^{-1}_{1,L'}$ at $\lambda = 0$ and π is holomorphic for $r < |\alpha_0|$). Then

$$\exp(zp(\lambda)\tilde{\xi}_\lambda) h^{-1}_{L',\alpha_0} = \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) \tilde{B}'_\lambda(z) = \tilde{F}_\lambda(z)(\tilde{B}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L',\alpha_0})$$

Now we have

$$F_\lambda(z) B_\lambda(z) = h_{L',\alpha_0} \exp(zp(\lambda)\tilde{\xi}_\lambda) h^{-1}_{L',\alpha_0} = h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) \tilde{B}'_\lambda(z) = (h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) H)(H^{-1} \tilde{B}'_\lambda(z))$$

By uniqueness of the r-Iwasawa decomposition we have only to prove that if $H = h^{-1}_{L'(z),\alpha_0} \in H^r_{\alpha_0}$ with $L'(z) = {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L'$ then $h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) H \in \Lambda_r \mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly

$$h_{L',\alpha_0} \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) h^{-1}_{L'(z),\alpha_0} \in \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is holomorphic on A_r away from α_0 and $1/\bar{\alpha}_0$, taking value in $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$. At the roots α_0 and $1/\bar{\alpha}_0$, we have simple pole and we have to study the residue of

$$G_\lambda(z) = \pi^{-1}_{L'}(\lambda) \tilde{F}_\lambda(z) \pi_{L'(z)}(\lambda)$$

Now we consider the simple factor $L'(z) = {}^t\overline{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L'$. Let be $(L'(z), L'(z)^\perp)$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and we remark that $L'(z) = {}^t\bar{\tilde{F}}_{\alpha_0}(z) L' = \tilde{F}^{-1}_{1/\bar{\alpha}_0}(z) L'$ and $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_0}(z)^{-1} L'^\perp = L'(z)^\perp$. When $\lambda \to 1/\bar{\alpha}_0$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1/\bar{\alpha}_0} G_\lambda L'(z) = \lim_{\lambda \to 1/\bar{\alpha}_0} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda - \alpha_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\, \lambda}} Q_{L'} \pi^{-1}_{\alpha_0} Q^{-1}_{L'} \tilde{F}_\lambda \bar{\tilde{F}}^t_{\alpha_0} L'(z) \to L' \,,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1/\bar{\alpha}_0} (1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda) G_\lambda L'^\perp(z) = \lim_{\lambda \to 1/\bar{\alpha}_0} (1 - \bar{\alpha}_0 \lambda) \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\, \lambda}{\lambda - \alpha_0}} Q_{L'} \pi^{-1}_{\alpha_0} Q^{-1}_{L'} \tilde{F}_\lambda L'^\perp(z) \to 0 \,.$$

When $\lambda \to \alpha_0$, we compute

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \alpha_0} (\lambda - \alpha_0) G_\lambda L'(z) = \lim_{\lambda \to \alpha_0} (\lambda - \alpha_0) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda - \alpha_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\, \lambda}} Q_{L'} \pi^{-1}_{\alpha_0} Q^{-1}_{L'} \tilde{F}_\lambda L'(z) \to 0 \,,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \alpha_0} G_\lambda L'^\perp(z) = \lim_{\lambda \to \alpha_0} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_0\, \lambda}{\lambda - \alpha_0}} Q_{L'} \pi^{-1}_{\alpha_0} Q^{-1}_{L'} \tilde{F}_\lambda \tilde{F}^{-1}_{\alpha_0} L'^\perp(z) \to L'^\perp \,,$$

and proves the proposition. □

References

1. U. Abresch, *Constant mean curvature tori in terms of elliptic functions*, J. Reine U. Angew Math. **374** (1987), 169–192.
2. A. I. Bobenko, *All constant mean curvature tori in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{H}^3$ *in terms of theta-functions*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 209–245.
3. ______, *Constant mean curvature surfaces and integrable equations*, Russian Math. Surveys **46** (1991), 1–45.
4. F. E. Burstall, D. Ferus, F. Pedit, and U. Pinkall, *Harmonic tori in symmetric spaces and commuting Hamiltonian systems on loop algebras*, Ann. of Math. **138** (1993), 173–212.
5. F. E. Burstall and F. Pedit, *Harmonic maps via Adler-Kostant-Symes theory*, Harmonic maps and integrable systems, Aspects of Mathematics, vol. E23, Vieweg, 1994.
6. ______, *Dressing orbits of harmonic maps*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 2, 353–382.
7. J. Dorfmeister and G. Haak, *On constant mean curvature surfaces with periodic metric*, Pacific J. Math. **182** (1998), 229–287.
8. J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit, and H. Wu, *Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 4, 633–668.
9. D. Ferus, F. Pedit, U. Pinkall, and I. Sterling, *Minimal tori in* $\mathbb{S}^4$, J. reine angew. Math. **429** (1992), 1–47.
10. A.P. Fordy and J.C. Wood (eds.), *Harmonic maps and integrable systems*, Aspects of Mathematics, E23, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1994.
11. O. Forster, *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 81, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
12. L. Hauswirth, *Minimal surfaces of Riemann type in three-dimensional product manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **224** (2006), no. 1, 91–117.
13. L. Hauswirth, M. Kilian, and M. U. Schmidt, *Properly embedded minimal annuli in* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, preprint, 2012.
14. N. Hitchin, *Harmonic maps from a 2-torus to the 3-sphere*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 3, 627–710.
15. D. Hoffman and B. White, *Axial minimal surfaces in* $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *are helicoidal*, J. Differential Geom. **87** (2011), no. 3, 515–523.
16. M. Kilian, *Bubbletons are not embedded*, Osaka Jour. Math **49** (2012), no. 3, 653–663.
17. M. Kilian, N. Schmitt, and I. Sterling, *Dressing CMC n-Noids*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 3, 501–519.
18. S.-P. Kobayashi, *Bubbletons in 3-dimensional space forms*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **9** (2004), no. 1, 44–68.
19. W. H. Meeks III, J. Perez, A. Ros, *Properly embedded minimal planar domain*, preprint.
20. W. H. Meeks III and H. Rosenberg, *Stable minimal surfaces in* $M \times \mathbb{R}$ J. Differential Geom. **68**, Number 3 (2004), no. 515-534.
21. W. H. Meeks III and H. Rosenberg,*The theory of minimal surfaces in* $M \times \mathbb{R}$, **80**, No 4, 2005, no. 811858.
22. I. McIntosh, *Global solutions of the elliptic 2d periodic Toda lattice*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 1, 85–108.
23. ______, *Harmonic tori and their spectral data*, Surveys on geometry and integrable systems, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 51, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 285–314.
24. U. Pinkall and I. Sterling, *On the classification of constant mean curvature tori*, Ann. Math. **130** (1989), 407–451.
25. K. Pohlmeyer, *Integrable hamiltonian systems and interaction through quadratic constraints*, Comm. Math. Phys. **46** (1976), 207–221.
26. A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford Science Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, 1988.
27. H. Rosenberg, *Minimal surfaces in* $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), no. 4, 1177–1195.
28. I. Sterling and H. Wente, *Existence and classification of constant mean curvature multibubbletons of finite and infinite type*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), no. 4, 1239–1266.
29. W. W. Symes, *Systems of Toda type, inverse spectral problems, and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **59** (1980), no. 1, 13–51.
30. C. Terng and K. Uhlenbeck, *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math **LIII** (2000), 1–75.
31. K. Uhlenbeck, *Harmonic maps into lie groups (classical solutions of the chiral model)*, J. Diff. Geom. **30** (1989), 1–50.

L. Hauswirth, Université Paris-Est, LAMA (UMR 8050), UPEMLV, UPEC, CNRS, F-77454, Marne-la-Vallée, France.

E-mail address: `hauswirth@univ-mlv.fr`

M. Kilian, School of Mathematical Sciences, Western Gateway Building, University College Cork, Ireland.

E-mail address: `m.kilian@ucc.ie`

M. U. Schmidt, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mannheim, A5, 6, 68131 Mannheim, Germany.

E-mail address: `schmidt@math.uni-mannheim.de`